## EDITORIAL

## Ligand-gated ion channels: from genes to behaviour

Derek Bowie

Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada

Email: derek.bowie@mcgill.ca

The study of ligand-gated ion-channels was born out of a marriage of convenience between early pharmacologists intent on developing a drug-receptor theory (Colquhoun, 2006) and pioneering neurophysiologists wishing to map out the many neurotransmitter pathways of the nervous system (Krnjevic, 1974, 2010). Investigators gravitated towards the cholinergic synapse of the neuromuscular junction in the late 1950s (Colguhoun & Sakmann, 1998) and produced our first serious treatise of agonist behaviour (Del Castillo & Katz, 1957), analysis at the single-channel level (Neher & Sakmann, 1976; Colquhoun & Sakmann, 1985) and ultimately the cloning of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) (Sakmann et al. 1985). The Journal of Physiology played an integral role in many of these advances and has continued this tradition as the study of ligand-gated ion channels has broadened ever further over the decades to include investigators from many other disciplines.

It was therefore fitting that when the GEPROM (Groupe d'Étude des Protéines Membranaires) research group in Montréal decided to organize a two-day scientific symposium last June to focus on ligand-gated ion-channels, it did so in partnership with the Journal of Physiology. The symposium drew upon the expertise of 15 internationally recognized speakers from different disciplines (Fig. 1) to each give their unique perspective on 'Ligand-gated ion channels: from genes to behaviour'. This issue of The Journal of Physiology collects the symposium reviews from eight of these invited speakers (Auerbach, 2012; Bowie, 2012; Diaz, 2012; Furukawa, 2012; Krishnaswamy & Cooper, 2012; Liu & Savtchouk, 2012; Popescu, 2012; Yan & Tomita, 2012).

The first session on 'Gene transcription and translation' was presented by Matthew Jones from the University of Wisconsin

in Madison and Sigiong June Liu from Louisiana State University. The Jones lab has been working on defective genes in patients with idiopathic generalized epilepsy (IGE) that often encode inhibitory GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits. The GABA  $\gamma 2$ (R43Q) mutation has been linked to absence seizures in humans with IGE (Goldschen-Ohm et al. 2010). Dr Jones explained that the consequence of this particular amino acid residue is to disrupt intersubunit interfaces involved in binding of GABA and therapeutic agents, such as benzodiazepines. How alterations in excitatory neurotransmission are influenced by experience was elegantly portrayed by Sigiong June Liu (Liu & Savtchouk, 2012). A single fear-inducing stimulus profoundly affects both glutamatergic transmission and the excitability of inhibitory stellate cells of the cerebellum (Liu et al. 2010; Savtchouk & Liu, 2011). Teaming up with Suzanne Zukin from the Albert Einstein College of Medicine in New York, she showed that fear upregulates GluA2 AMPA-type ionotropic glutamate receptor (iGluR) gene which affects synaptic efficacy over the next several hours and suggests that the GluA2 gene is a molecular switch tuned to respond to changes in an individual's emotional state.

In the second session on 'Signalling complex assemblies', Susumu Tomita from Yale University and Elva Diaz from the University of California at Davis each presented their work on NETO1/2 and SynDIG1 auxiliary proteins that bind to kainate- (KAR) and AMPA-type iGluRs, respectively (Diaz, 2012; Yan & Tomita, 2012). The results with NETO1/2 explain the long-standing conundrum as to why native receptors have slow channel kinetics compared to recombinant KARs (Zhang et al. 2009; Straub et al. 2011) and how heteromeric KARs have a more tardy response to neurotransmitter (Barberis et al. 2008). Examination of another auxiliary protein family, namely the transmembrane AMPA receptor regulatory proteins or TARPs (Straub & Tomita, 2011). Dr Tomita has provided some defining criteria that are unique to auxiliary proteins (Yan & Tomita, 2012). Elva Diaz presented an enthralling account of how SynDIG1 was plucked out of the cerebellum by a DNA microarray approach (Diaz et al. 2002). SynDIG1 colocalizes with AMPARs at glutamatergic synapses, regulating their number (Kalashnikova *et al.* 2010) and providing a molecular anchor that may be critical for the recruitment of AMPARs to nascent synapses during synaptogenesis.

The final session of the first day focused on 'Physiology and disease' with all three speakers coming from Montreal's oldest academic and teaching institution, McGill University. Ellis Cooper summarized a series of elegant studies linking the effects of reactive oxygen species (ROS) of neuronal nAChRs to dysautonomias in patients with diabetes (Campanucci et al. 2008, 2010). ROS are able to do this by crosslinking a ring of highly conserved cysteine residues in the cytoplasmic mouth of the pore. Curiously, muscle nAChRs lack these cysteine residues and thus skeletal muscle function is spared from the detrimental effects of ROS (Krishnaswamy & Cooper, 2012). Charles Bourque presented an exciting account of a predominant role for novel transient receptor potential (TRP) channels in osmo- and thermoregulation (Bourque, 2008; Sudbury et al. 2010) and future work which may lead to a new generation of centrally acting therapeutic agents to control hypertension. I presented the final talk on synapses lacking NMDA-type iGluRs and how they are able to actively recruit AMPARs during development. We have shown that sensory experience drives a switch in AMPAR properties in the developing mammalian retina (Osswald et al. 2007). Curiously, the calcium-permeable AMPARs that are expressed in the adult retina are resistant to the effect of polyamine-based compounds routinely used to block them. Other investigators have reported similar findings over the last two decades suggesting that a third, previously unappreciated class of AMPA-type iGluR may be expressed in the vertebrate CNS (Bowie, 2012).

The second day started in earnest with a session on 'Structure at atomic resolution'. Hiro Furkawa from Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories presented unpublished data on the unexpected structural properties of the amino-terminal domain (ATD) of NMDA-type iGluRs which is a key structural determinant of both the gating and allosteric properties of the NMDARs (Furukawa, 2012). Dr Furukawa left little opportunity for his findings to be scooped



**Figure 1.** Photograph of the participants at the two-day GEPROM symposium on ligand-gated ion channels including invited speakers, student presenters and members of the organizing committee From left to right, back row, A. Cottreau, R. Blunck, E. Cooper, G. Popescu, S. Tomita, H. Furukawa, R. Robert, C. Bourque, A. Auerbach, M. Jones, J.-Y. Lapointe; front row, D. Bowie, R. Gaudet, P. Brown, E. Diaz, S. J. Liu, M. Li, C. Czajkowski and S. Wall-Lacelle.

since at the end of his 30 minute talk, the data he presented had just appeared in that morning's issue of *Nature*! (Karakas *et al.* 2011). Rachelle Gaudet from Harvard University gave an equally compelling presentation describing the properties of ankyrin repeats in TRPV1 channels (Lishko *et al.* 2007) and the unappreciated role of this domain in pain sensation.

The morning concluded with a session on 'New approaches' with both Rikard Blunck, from the Université de Montréal, and Yves De Koninck, from the Université de Laval, presenting complementary approaches on how to ascertain the subunit copy number of a neurotransmitter receptor. Hugo McGuire in Rikard Blunck's lab working with Mark Aurousseau from my own lab have developed a method modified from Ehud Isacoff's lab (Ulbrich & Isacoff, 2007). In essence, they determine the number of subunits per receptor by counting bleaching steps of GFP fused to the protein of interest, using total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy. Working with Paul Wiseman at McGill, Dr De Konick's lab developed a more computationally demanding approach based on spatial intensity distribution analysis (or SpIDA) to directly measure oligomerization states using standard fluorescence microscopy (Godin et al. 2011).

The symposium concluded with a feast of presentations on the 'Gating mechanisms'.

Gabriela Popescu from the State University of New York in Buffalo gave an absorbing talk on the nature of NMDAR modal gating. As discussed in her review article (Popescu, 2012), modal-gating offers an effective regulatory mechanism by which to control channel activation. Mufeng Li, a talented postdoctoral fellow from Kenton Swartz's lab at the US National Institutes of Health, presented data on the trimeric pore of Purinergic 2X receptor channels (Li et al. 2008, 2010). She described how the structure of the pore changes during gating by measuring the thiol modification of introduced cysteine residues and by engineering metal bridges. Her data point to a unique movement of the pore region during gating where the initial displacement of the external pore is accompanied by a significant narrowing of the inner pore (Li et al. 2010). Cynthia Czajkowski from the University of Wisconsin in Madison has been using site-directed spin labelling electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (or SDSL-EPR) and voltage-clamp fluorometry to study the gating motions of the prokaryotic Cys-loop receptor, pGLIC. She showed a series of experiments demonstrating proof of principle that this technique can give a functional readout of the gating motions and so complement the static structures obtained by X-ray crystallography. The final speaker of the symposium was Anthony Auerbach also from the State University of New York in Buffalo. Over the last few decades, Dr Auerbach's team has pioneered a greater understanding of the most prototypical of all ligand-gated ion-channels, the nAChR. He gave a historical background to the seminal work by Pierre Changeux's lab (Monod et al. 1965) and how analysis of nAChR mutants continues to support and advance the original findings reported more than half century and argued that the formalism described by Monod, Wyman and Changeux may be as informative when applied to other ligand-gated ion-channels (Auerbach, 2012).

The ongoing challenge for the future will be to bring motion to the emerging structural models of ligand-gated ionchannel families. The two-day GEPROM symposium offered some insights in how to reach this goal. But clearly, much remains to be understood of the complex molecular events triggered by agonist binding to neurotransmitter receptors.

## References

- Auerbach A (2012). Thinking in Cycles: MWC is a good model for acetylcholine receptorchannels. J Physiol 590, 93–98.
- Barberis A, Sachidhanandam S & Mulle C (2008). GluR6/KA2 kainate receptors mediate slow-deactivating currents. J Neurosci 28, 6402–6406.

© 2012 The Author. The Journal of Physiology © 2012 The Physiological Society

- Bourque CW (2008). Central mechanisms of osmosensation and systemic osmoregulation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 9, 519–531.
- Bowie D (2012). Redefining the classification of AMPA-selective ionotropic glutamate receptors. J Physiol 590, 49–61.
- Campanucci V, Krishnaswamy A & Cooper E (2010). Diabetes depresses synaptic transmission in sympathetic ganglia by inactivating nAChRs through a conserved intracellular cysteine residue. *Neuron* **66**, 827–834.
- Campanucci VA, Krishnaswamy A & Cooper E (2008). Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species inactivate neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and induce long-term depression of fast nicotinic synaptic transmission. *J Neurosci* **28**, 1733–1744.
- Colquhoun D (2006). The quantitative analysis of drug-receptor interactions: a short history. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 27, 149–157.
- Colquhoun D & Sakmann B (1985). Fast events in single-channel currents activated by acetylcholine and its analogues at the frog muscle end-plate. *J Physiol* **369**, 501–557.
- Colquhoun D & Sakmann B (1998). From muscle endplate to brain synapses: a short history of synapses and agonist-activated ion channels. *Neuron* **20**, 381–387.
- Del CJ & Katz B (1957). Interaction at end-plate receptors between different choline derivatives. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **146**, 369–381.
- Diaz E (2012). SynDIG1 regulation of excitatory synapse maturation. *J Physiol* **590**, 33–38.
- Diaz E, Ge Y, Yang YH, Loh KC, Serafini TA, Okazaki Y, Hayashizaki Y, Speed TP, Ngai J & Scheiffele P (2002). Molecular analysis of gene expression in the developing pontocerebellar projection system. *Neuron* 36, 417–434.
- Furukawa H (2012). Structure and function of glutamate receptor amino terminal domain. *J Physiol* **590**, 63–72.
- Godin AG, Costantino S, Lorenzo LE, Swift JL, Sergeev M, Ribeiro-da-Silva A, De Koninck Y & Wiseman PW (2011). Revealing protein oligomerization and densities in situ using spatial intensity distribution analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108, 7010–7015.
- Goldschen-Ohm MP, Wagner DA, Petrou S & Jones MV (2010). An epilepsy-related region in the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor mediates long-distance effects on GABA and benzodiazepine binding sites. *Mol Pharmacol* 77, 35–45.

- Kalashnikova E, Lorca RA, Kaur I, Barisone GA, Li B, Ishimaru T, Trimmer JS, Mohapatra DP & Diaz E (2010). SynDIG1: an activityregulated. *Neuron* 65, 80–93.
- Karakas E, Simorowski N & Furukawa H (2011). Subunit arrangement and phenylethanolamine binding in GluN1/GluN2B NMDA receptors. *Nature* 475, 249–253.
- Krishnaswamy A & Cooper E (2012). Reactive oxygen species inactivate neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors through a highly conserved cysteine near the intracellular mouth of the channel: implications for diseases that involve oxidative stress. J Physiol 590, 39–47.
- Krnjevic K (1974). Chemical nature of synaptic transmission in vertebrates. *Physiol Rev* 54, 418–540.
- Krnjevic K (2010). When and why amino acids? *J Physiol* **588**, 33–44.
- Li M, Chang TH, Silberberg SD & Swartz KJ (2008). Gating the pore of P2X receptor channels. *Nat Neurosci* **11**, 883–887.
- Li M, Kawate T, Silberberg SD & Swartz KJ (2010). Pore-opening mechanism in trimeric P2X receptor channels. *Nat Commun* 1, 44.
- Lishko PV, Procko E, Jin X, Phelps CB & Gaudet R (2007). The ankyrin repeats of TRPV1 bind multiple ligands and modulate channel sensitivity. *Neuron* **54**, 905–918.
- Liu SJ & Savtchouk I (2012). Ca<sup>2+</sup>-permeable AMPA receptors switch allegiances: mechanisms and consequences. *J Physiol* **590**, 13–20.
- Liu Y, Formisano L, Savtchouk I, Takayasu Y, Szabo G, Zukin RS & Liu SJ (2010). A single fear-inducing stimulus induces a transcription-dependent switch in synaptic AMPAR phenotype. *Nat Neurosci* **13**, 223–231.
- Monod J, Wyman J & Changeux JP (1965). On the nature of allosteric transitions: a plausible model. *J Mol Biol* **12**, 88–118.
- Neher E & Sakmann B (1976). Single-channel currents recorded from membrane of denervated frog muscle fibres. *Nature* 260, 799–802.
- Osswald IK, Galan A & Bowie D (2007). Light triggers expression of philanthotoxininsensitive Ca<sup>2+</sup>-permeable AMPA receptors in the developing rat retina. *J Physiol* **582**, 95–111.

- Popescu G (2012). Modes of Glutamate receptor gating. *J Physiol* **590**, 73–91.
- Sakmann B, Methfessel C, Mishina M, Takahashi T, Takai T, Kurasaki M, Fukuda K & Numa S (1985). Role of acetylcholine receptor subunits in gating of the channel. *Nature* **318**, 538–543.
- Savtchouk I & Liu SJ (2011). Remodeling of synaptic AMPA receptor subtype alters the probability and pattern of action potential firing. *J Neurosci* **31**, 501–511.
- Straub C, Hunt DL, Yamasaki M, Kim KS, Watanabe M, Castillo PE & Tomita S (2011). Distinct functions of kainate receptors in the brain are determined by the auxiliary subunit Neto1. *Nat Neurosci* 14, 866–873.
- Straub C & Tomita S (2011). The regulation of glutamate receptor trafficking and function by TARPs and other transmembrane auxiliary subunits. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* (in press).
- Sudbury JR, Ciura S, Sharif-Naeini R & Bourque CW (2010). Osmotic and thermal control of magnocellular neurosecretory neurons – role of an N-terminal variant of trpv1. *Eur J Neurosci* 32, 2022–2030.
- Ulbrich MH & Isacoff EY (2007). Subunit counting in membrane-bound proteins. *Nat Methods* 4, 319–321.
- Yan D & Tomita S (2012). Defined criteria for auxiliary subunits of glutamate receptors. *J Physiol* 590, 21–31.
- Zhang W, St-Gelais F, Grabner CP, Trinidad JC, Sumioka A, Morimoto-Tomita M, Kim KS, Straub C, Burlingame AL, Howe JR & Tomita S (2009). A transmembrane accessory subunit that modulates kainate-type glutamate receptors. *Neuron* **61**, 385–396.

## Acknowledgements

The symposium was supported by a grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and funds from GEPROM as well as donations from private companies whose logos are shown below.

