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Objective.—To investigate the speed of the transfer of new statistical methods into the medical literature and, on the basis of current data, to predict what methods medical journal editors should expect to see in the next decade.

Design.—Influential statistical articles were identified and the time pattern of citations in the medical literature was ascertained. In addition, longitudinal studies of the statistical content of articles in medical journals were reviewed.

Main Outcome Measures.—Cumulative number of citations in medical journals of each article in the years after publication.

Results.—Annual citations show some evidence of decreasing lag times between the introduction of new statistical methods and their appearance in medical journals. Newer technical innovations still typically take 4 to 6 years before they achieve 25 citations in the medical literature. Few methodological advances of the 1980s seem yet to have been widely cited in medical journals. Longitudinal studies indicate a large increase in the use of more complex statistical methods.

Conclusions.—Time trends suggest that technology diffusion has speeded up during the last 50 years, although there is still a lag of several years before medical citations begin to accrue. Journals should expect to see more articles using increasingly sophisticated methods. Medical journals may need to modify reviewing procedures to deal with articles using these complex new methods.

(JAMA. 1994;272:129-132)

THE INFLUX of statistical methods into the medical literature has increased over more than 60 years. Over the same period, statistics itself has undergone major changes, so that not only is the use of statistics in medical research much more common, but the methods used have become progressively more complex. Although some of the methods being introduced in medical research were developed in other contexts, many statistical advances have arisen as solutions to problems arising in medical research. Changes in the type of statistical methods being used in medical articles have implications for editors, referees, and readers.

We report herein a study of citations to investigate the transfer of new statistical methods into the medical literature. We predict some new methods that medical journal editors should expect to see in the next decade.

METHODS

Influential statistical articles published after 1950 were identified from two books that reprinted important statistical articles,24 from a list of the most cited articles in medical journals, and from personal knowledge (Table 1). Several articles relate to survival analysis6a,13,14 or meta-analysis,1,2 two of the strongest growth areas (in both medicine and medical statistics) in recent years. Unfortunately, in some important areas of statistical methods there was no key article that could be widely cited by a large proportion of users, such as logistic regression and sample size calculations for clinical trials. We have included some articles that were published in medical journals (notably, cancer journals) when these seemed to be the primary source of the new method, and also one book.

For each article, the time pattern of citations in the medical literature was ascertained. Citations prior to 1971 were obtained by hand searching of printed volumes of the Science Citation Index,25 as were citations for a few of the later articles with relatively few citations. Citations from 1971 to 1992 were obtained using computer searches of the SciSearch database (Institute of Scientific Information, Philadelphia, Pa). These searches were carried out in July and August 1993, by which time citations for 1992 should have been virtually complete. We did not search for articles that had incorrect citations of the articles of interest. It is our impression that the rate of incorrect citations of these articles was about 10% (excluding errors in titles). Some minor inconsistency between the two methods of searching may have arisen through problems in identifying what constitutes a medical journal. For comparison, similar citation analyses were performed for two heavily cited expository statistical articles published in medical journals.21,22

We also sought evidence from longitudinal studies of the statistical content of articles in medical journals to examine changes in the methods used over time.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows cumulative numbers of citations for the articles listed in Table 1 divided into four decades—the 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, and 1980s. The article by Cox4 was excluded because it has been cited much more often than the other articles. It is shown in Fig 2, together with the article by Kaplan and Meier.6 These two articles are frequently cited together in articles reporting the results of survival analyses. They were published 14 years apart, and Fig 2 shows that the citations for the earlier article have risen in parallel with those for the Cox article, but about 14 years later in relation to the year of publication. These are now two of the most heavily cited articles in medical journals. The rise in citations for the article by Kaplan and Meier6 is especially marked given that it received only six citations in medical journals in the first 10 years after publication.

Annual citations for the articles published in the four decades do show some evidence of decreasing lag times between the introduction and widespread use of new statistical methods. Newer technical innovations still typically take 4 to 6
Table 1.—Statistical Articles Included in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source, y</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cornfield,1 1951</td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran,* 1954</td>
<td>χ² Trend test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woolf,1 1955</td>
<td>Combining 2x2 tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaplan and Meier,1 1958</td>
<td>Survival curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantel and Haenszel,1 1958</td>
<td>Stratified 2x2 table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen,1 1960</td>
<td>χ² Statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantel,1 1963</td>
<td>Survival analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box and Cox,5 1964</td>
<td>Transformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantel,1 1966</td>
<td>Survival analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elston and Stewart,1 1971</td>
<td>Heredity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peto and Peto,1 1972</td>
<td>Log rank test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox,1 1972</td>
<td>Proportional hazards regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dempster et al,5 1977</td>
<td>EM algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efron,1 1979</td>
<td>Bootstrap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanley and McNeil,5 1982</td>
<td>Characteristic curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geman and Geman,1 1984</td>
<td>Gibbs sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breiman et al,5 1984</td>
<td>Classification and regression trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeger and Liang,5 1986</td>
<td>Longitudinal data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expository articles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peto et al,5 1977</td>
<td>Long rank test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bland and Altman,5 1986</td>
<td>Method comparison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

years before they achieve 25 citations in the medical literature. Few methodological advances of the 1980s seem yet to have been widely cited in medical journals. By contrast, expository articles in medical journals can reach 500 citations within 4 to 5 years (Fig 3). Citations for one of the two expository articles51 have leveled out, with a roughly constant number of citations each year. Most of the methodological articles (notably, the heavily cited articles) have increasing numbers of citations each year.

Few authors have studied changes over time in the use of statistical methods in one journal. Hayden24 gave a brief summary of the rise in the use of simple statistical methods in Pediatrics from 1952 to 1982, while Felson et al56 described similar changes in Arthritis and Rheumatism from 1967 to 1986 vs 1982. The most detailed information we are aware of relates to the New England Journal of Medicine. Articles published in 1978 and 1979,1989,27 and 199058 have been reviewed using the same set of categories.28 A large increase was noted during this period in the use of most statistical methods, especially the more complex methods (Table 2). It is notable that survival analysis and logistic regression were found in almost a third of original articles published in 1989 and 1990.

COMMENT

Citation studies are rightly criticized as a means of grading researchers,5 but we think they provide a valuable measure of the impact of a new methodological development on medical research. Figure 1 suggests that technology diffusion may have speeded up during the last 30 to 40 years, although there is still usually a lag of several years before medical citations begin to accrue.

Our analyses took no account of the large increase in the number of articles being published each year in medical journals (1730 journals published in 1950, increasing in 10-year intervals to 2800, 4420, 6720, and 9480) (Ulrich's International Serials database, Bowker Electronic Publishing). However, this increase has been almost linear since 1970, so adjustment for the increasing size of the literature would not greatly alter the shapes of the curves. Furthermore, such adjustment is not appropriate if, as seems likely, researchers today need to access many more articles in a greater number of journals than their predecessors. Huth39 found a large increase between 1950 and 1985 in the number of
different journals being cited in articles published in the *New England Journal of Medicine*.

Independent evidence for genuine changes in the use of statistics comes from studies that have looked at the same journals across time. The few such studies that we are aware of have shown large increases in the use of statistical methods and a tendency to use more complicated methods.19-30 Thus, there is clearly a strong component of increased use and complexity of statistics independent of the total journal expansion. It is relevant that the number of original articles published per year by the *New England Journal of Medicine* decreased during the period of the studies summarized in Table 2.

Cumulative citations for the methodological articles considered generally curve upward, indicating that the annual number of citations keeps increasing. By contrast, the two expository articles considered show a much more rapid accrual of citations (starting in the year of publication) but near-linear cumulative citation curves, indicating a fairly steady annual citation rate. Expository statistical articles in medical journals can reach 500 citations within 4 to 5 years (Fig 3). Both articles we considered19,22 described methods previously published in statistical journals33,34 without achieving many citations in medical journals. These citation figures suggest that expository articles are valuable, especially for topics that are not usually included in medical statistics textbooks. Indeed, the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors guidelines state, "References for study design and statistical methods should be to standard works (with pages stated) when possible rather than to papers in which the designs or methods were originally reported."33 Expository articles cowritten by a statistician and medical researcher may be especially helpful—a recent example considers receiver operating characteristic curves.33 Unfortunately, such crossover articles require a considerable amount of work, and such activity (being a form of teaching) may not be helpful to the statistician's or researcher's career in comparison with either more methodological or medical articles.

Several complex statistical methods introduced in the 1980s are beginning to be seen more frequently. Although it is not possible to identify recent articles that will turn out to be major breakthroughs, most of the newer methods are sophisticated. Journals should expect to see growing numbers of articles using them. Methods likely to be seen more often are described briefly in Table 3. Software is available for all of these techniques, and some are beginning to be included in well-known statistics packages. It is worth noting that by the time a topic reaches medical journals there may be a large methodological literature. Ripley35 notes that there are already more than a dozen journals and at least 15 texts devoted to neural networks.

The evidence of time trends within one major journal (Table 2) supports the idea that there is an ever-increasing variety of statistical methods appearing in medical articles. The speed with which new methods are introduced may pose problems for statistical referees, for the physicians who read the published work, and for the journals themselves. Referees may not be able to judge new methods that they have not yet learned. Physicians may feel that they have no chance of understanding the new methods (even if they are comfortable with more traditional methods) and will have to take the results of such studies on faith. The journals, in whom that faith is being entrusted, may bear an increasing burden to ensure that the methods are indeed valid, since most of their audience will be unable to assess that for themselves.

We think that the following developments are possible and may be desirable in the future:

- Authors using complex methods will be asked to supply additional supporting material for referees but not for publication. This might take the form of a formal appendix in the submitted manuscript, which is peer reviewed (and possibly modified) but not published. It should be supplied by authors to readers on request.
- Because statistical refereeing will be a more difficult process (because of both the novelty and the complexity of methods), medical journals may need to recruit panels of methodological reviewers who specialize in specific methods.
- Editors of medical journals should encourage or actively solicit more cross-over (expository) articles on new methods, perhaps with both medical and statistical authors.
- More postgraduate training for medical researchers should be developed, with formal accreditation, both in basic statistical methods and also to help those who wish to keep abreast of newer methods.

It is likely that the statistical education of physicians, already poor,29,40 will in the future lag even further behind the
methods that are used in medical journals. Already the standard methods taught in an introductory course would leave a reader unable to judge a high

percentage of articles published in the New England Journal of Medicine, and that proportion is likely to increase with time.
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