Efficacy and Safety of Smoking Cessation Interventions in Patients With Cardiovascular Disease A Network Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Karine Suissa, PDt, MSc; Jordan Larivière; Mark J. Eisenberg, MD, MPH; Maria Eberg, MSc; Genevieve C. Gore, MLIS; Roland Grad, MD, MSc; Lawrence Joseph, PhD; Pauline M. Reynier, MSc; Kristian B. Filion, PhD

- **Background**—Although the efficacy and safety of smoking cessation interventions are well established, their efficacy and safety in patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD) remain unclear. The objective of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of pharmacological and behavioral smoking cessation interventions in CVD patients via a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.
- *Methods and Results*—EMBASE, PsycINFO, MEDLINE, PubMed, and the Cochrane Tobacco Addiction Specialized Register were searched for randomized controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of smoking cessation pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies in CVD patients. Outcomes of interest were smoking abstinence at 6 and 12 months, defined using the most rigorous criteria reported. Data were pooled across studies for direct comparisons using random-effects models. Network meta-analysis using a graph-theoretical approach was used to generate the indirect comparisons. Seven pharmacotherapy randomized controlled trials (n=2809) and 17 behavioral intervention randomized controlled trials (n=4666) met our inclusion criteria. Our network meta-analysis revealed that varenicline (relative risk [RR]: 2.64; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.34–5.21) and bupropion (RR: 1.42; 95% CI, 1.01–2.01) were associated with greater abstinence than placebo. The evidence about nicotine replacement therapies was inconclusive (RR: 1.22; 95% CI, 0.72–2.06). Telephone therapy (RR: 1.47; 95% CI: 1.15–1.88) and individual counseling (RR: 1.64, 95% CI: 1.17–2.28) were both more efficacious than usual care, whereas in-hospital behavioral interventions were not (RR: 1.05; 95% CI, 0.78–1.43).
- *Conclusions*—Our meta-analysis suggests varenicline and bupropion, as well as individual and telephone counseling, are efficacious for smoking cessation in CVD patients. (*Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2017;10:e002458. DOI: 10.1161/ CIRCOUTCOMES.115.002458.)

Key Words: behavior therapy ■ bupropion ■ cardiovascular disease ■ meta-analysis ■ smoking ■ tobacco use cessation products ■ varenicline

The efficacy and safety of pharmacological and behavioral smoking cessation interventions have been examined in multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs), with subsequent meta-analyses showing that such interventions are efficacious at increasing quit rates.^{1,2} However, participants in these trials were generally healthy, and the generalizability of these data to patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD) is unclear. This unclear generalizability is underscored by 3 trials that found that the smoking cessation drug bupropion did not increase the prevalence of abstinence when used in patients with acute manifestations of CVD.^{3–5} This may be because of fundamental differences between such patients, who tend to have higher

quit rates without therapy because of an increased motivation to quit and the teachable moment that occurs after an adverse event,⁶ and the otherwise healthy smokers enrolled in previous RCTs.^{6,7} Similarly, the generalizability of RCTs conducted in the general population to patients with stable CVD is also unknown. A thorough assessment of the effect of smoking cessation interventions in CVD patients is needed to develop tailored recommendations to increase abstinence in the CVD population. Consequently, we conducted a systematic review and network meta-analysis of RCTs to evaluate the relative efficacy and safety of pharmacological and behavioral smoking cessation interventions in CVD patients.

Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes is available at http://circoutcomes.ahajournals.org

Received November 9, 2015; accepted November 21, 2016.

From the Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Occupational Health (K.S., M.J.E., L.J., K.B.F.), Faculty of Medicine (J.L., M.J.E., K.B.F.), Division of Cardiology, Jewish General Hospital (M.J.E.), Schulich Library of Science and Engineering (G.C.G.), Department of Family Medicine (R.G.), Division of Clinical Epidemiology (L.J.), and Department of Medicine (K.B.F.), McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; and Center for Clinical Epidemiology, Lady Davis Institute, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada (K.S., J.L., M.J.E., M.E., P.M.R., K.B.F.).

The Data Supplement is available at http://circoutcomes.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.115.002458/-/DC1.

Correspondence to Kristian B. Filion, PhD, Departments of Medicine and of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Occupational Health, McGill University, 3755 Cote Ste-Catherine Rd, Suite H416.1, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. E-mail kristian.filion@mcgill.ca

^{© 2016} American Heart Association, Inc.

WHAT IS KNOWN

- Several randomized controlled trials and subsequent meta-analyses have demonstrated that pharmacological and behavioral smoking cessation therapies are efficacious at increasing smoking abstinence in the general population.
- However, participants in these trials were generally healthy, and the generalizability of these data to patients with cardiovascular disease is unclear.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS

- Our network meta-analysis of 24 trials of pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies suggests that, among patients who are motivated to quit smoking, varenicline and bupropion are efficacious for smoking cessation in patients with cardiovascular disease, whereas available data about nicotine replacement therapies are inconclusive.
- Individual and telephone counseling also seem to be efficacious in this patient population.
- Available evidence suggests that varenicline is the most efficacious smoking cessation therapy in patients with cardiovascular disease who are motivated to quit smoking, although more safety data are needed.

Methods

Our systematic review and network meta-analysis was performed after a prespecified protocol and is reported using the guidelines described in PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) extension for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analyses.⁸

Data Sources

We systematically searched Ovid EMBASE, Ovid PsycINFO, Ovid MEDLINE, PubMed, and the Cochrane Tobacco Addiction Group Specialized Register from inception to June 2015 to identify RCTs of pharmacological and behavioral smoking cessation interventions in CVD patients. The search strategy was designed and conducted by an experienced health librarian (G.C.G.). No language restriction was used in the search. A combination of text words, Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), EMTREE terms, and the Thesaurus of Psychological Index Terms was used for smoking cessation, interventions, and CVD (Tables I through V in the Data Supplement). Additionally, we scanned the references of previous reviews on smoking cessation in CVD and hospitalized populations⁹⁻¹⁴ to retrieve studies that were not identified by our primary search.

Study Selection

The titles and abstracts of identified publications were screened by 2 independent reviewers, with those deemed relevant by at least one reviewer carried forward for full-text review, where disagreements were resolved by consensus. Included studies were RCTs that investigated the efficacy or safety of a smoking cessation intervention (pharmacological or behavioral) compared with an appropriate reference group (placebo for pharmacological interventions and usual care for behavioral interventions). Pharmacotherapies of interest were varenicline, bupropion, or nicotine replacement therapy (NRT), including patch, gum, inhaler, or spray, and behavioral therapies, including inhospital counseling (inpatient counseling only), telephone counseling

(≥2 telephone support sessions for outpatients), or individual counseling (≥1 outpatient counseling sessions). Inclusion was restricted to RCTs conducted in smokers with CVD or to RCTs where smoking cessation outcomes for this population were reported. CVD included the following conditions: angina pectoris, coronary artery disease, coronary artery bypass grafting, myocardial infarction, heart failure, peripheral artery disease, transient ischemic attack, and stroke. Included studies had to report smoking cessation either as continuous or point prevalence abstinence by treatment group at 6 or 12 months (allowing for a 6-week grace period). Biochemical validation of abstinence was not an inclusion criterion; however, subgroup analyses involving this study characteristic were performed.

Smoking reduction and maintenance studies were excluded, as were those that examined general lifestyle interventions not specifically aimed at smoking cessation (eg, multifactorial CVD prevention programs). Studies that randomized participants who were described as not motivated to quit or did not have a precise quit date were also excluded. Trials that included patients who were not motivated to quit were excluded because treatment effects for behavioral and pharmacological therapies are likely different in such patients and their inclusion would violate the consistency assumption if such patients were distributed differentially across treatment groups.15 Importantly, only trials that explicitly stated that their population included patients who were not motivated to quit were excluded; with patients having given informed consent to participate in a smoking cessation trial, it was assumed that patients were motivated unless stated otherwise (Table VI in the Data Supplement). Observational studies, case reports, case series, letters to editors, editorials, reviews, conference abstracts, commentaries, and guidelines were excluded. Finally, studies published in a language other than English or French were excluded.

Data Extraction

Data extraction was performed independently by 2 reviewers with any disagreements resolved by consensus or by a third reviewer. Study characteristics were extracted, including study population (inpatient versus outpatient, acute versus stable) and intervention details. For pharmacotherapy RCTs, type and dosage were extracted. For behavioral therapy RCTs, intensity level, counseling type, duration and number of sessions, usual care definition, and adjunct pharmacotherapy use were extracted. Outcomes were point prevalence of abstinence and continuous abstinence from smoking at 6 and 12 months. Patient demographics, smoking habits, and use of biochemical validation were also extracted. Safety outcomes extracted included the number of patients with adverse events, serious adverse events, and cardiovascular events. Multigroup trials were treated as separate trials using the same reference group.

Classification of Outcomes

Outcomes were classified following the criteria from a previous systematic review on pharmacotherapies for smoking cessation.¹ Point prevalence of abstinence was defined as no smoking in the 7 days before the follow-up. Continuous abstinence was defined as a complete cessation from the quit date to the latest follow-up. Because of the heterogeneity of reported outcomes between studies, we analyzed smoking abstinence according to the most rigorous criterion provided by each trial: (1) continuous abstinence at 12 months; (2) continuous abstinence at 6 months; (3) point prevalence of abstinence at 12 months; and (4) point prevalence of abstinence at 6 months, with priority given to any biochemically validated outcome. All analyses were intention-to-treat, with all patients who were alive but lost to follow-up considered to have returned to smoking, a common assumption in smoking cessation trials.¹⁶

Quality Assessment

Quality assessment was performed by 2 independent reviewers using the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias,¹⁷ with disagreements resolved by consensus or by a third reviewer. This tool assesses 6 potential sources of bias: sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding of participants, outcome assessors, and personnel; incomplete outcome data; selective outcome reporting; and other potential sources of bias. A score of high, low, or unclear was assigned for each domain. All RCTs that met our inclusion criteria were included, regardless of their quality.

Statistical Analysis

Relative risks (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were generated using DerSimonian and Laird random-effects models with inverse variance weighting. In our primary analysis, count data were pooled, and heterogeneity was estimated by the I^2 statistic. Stratified analyses were performed based on the nature of CVD (stable versus acute) and the presence of biochemical validation. Network meta-analysis was used to compare the efficacy of interventions that may or may not have been directly compared with each other using the graph-theoretical approach by Rücker.18 This approach originated from the graph-theoretical methods that were developed for the electrical network theory. In the case of a pairwise meta-analysis with direct comparisons, this approach simplifies to the fixed-effect model estimate. The network geometry was explored graphically. The transitivity, homogeneity, and consistency assumptions were assessed via subgroup analyses (by type of therapy, CVD subtype, and the exclusion of behavioral trials in which the availability of pharmacotherapy was differential between treatment groups) and the I^2 statistic. Consistency was assessed by running inconsistency models and assessing the residuals in inconsistency plots. Treatment rankings and P scores were calculated, and rankogram curves were constructed. All statistical analyses other than inconsistency models were performed using R version 3.2.1; direct comparisons were analysed using the meta package, and network meta-analyses were performed with the netmeta package.19 Inconsistency models were created using NetMetaXL and WinBUGS.20

Results

Search Results

Our initial electronic search identified 2967 potentially relevant publications (Figure 1). After the removal of 814 duplicates, the

titles and abstracts of 2153 relevant publications were screened for eligibility, and 198 were selected for full-text review, 22 of which met our inclusion criteria. A list of the full texts that did not meet our inclusion criteria is presented in the Data Supplement. The handsearch of reference lists of previous reviews identified 2 additional RCTs^{21,22} that had not been retrieved by our electronic search. Thus, a total of 24 RCTs^{3–5,7,21–40} were included in our systematic review and meta-analysis. One RCT had 2 behavioral intervention arms; these 2 arms were treated as 2 separate trials and compared with the same usual care group.

Study and Patient Characteristics

In total, 6761 patients were randomized in the 24 included studies: 2095 in the 7 pharmacotherapy trials and 4666 in the 17 behavioral therapy trials (Tables 1 and 2), with sample sizes ranging from 40 to 643. The 7 pharmacotherapy trials examined 12-month continuous abstinence, with 6 biochemically validating abstinence. All 7 studies had a cointervention in the form of personalized counseling, with the number of sessions ranging from 3 to 25.

Of the 17 behavioral interventions trials, 5 examined 12-month continuous abstinence, with 15 biochemically validating abstinence. Seven trials did not provide any form of adjunct pharmacotherapy, 5 provided it for both the control and the intervention group, and 3 trials provided it only for the intervention group. The type of behavioral intervention was most often motivational support (10 trials), with only 3 trials offering cognitive behavioral therapy. On average, in-hospital counseling trials offered 44 minutes of intervention, telephone counseling trials 99 minutes, and individual counseling trials 233 minutes.



Figure 1. Flow diagram of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) included in the systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cochrane indicates Cochrane Tobacco Addiction Group Specialized Register.

			No. of Pa	No. of Patients (n)			
				Efficacy A	Analysis		
First Author	Year	Population	Total Randomized	I	С	Most Rigorous Outcome	Cointervention (No. of Sessions)
NRTs							
Joseph et al ²³	1996	Outpatient-stable CVD	584	294	290	12 mo CA-BV	Individual counseling (3)
Campbell et al ²²	1991	Inpatient-CVD	85	44	41	12 mo CA-BV	Individual counseling (7)
Bupropion							
Tonstad et al ²⁴	2003	Outpatient-stable CVD	629	313	313	12 mo CA-BV	Individual counseling (19)
Eisenberg et al ³	2013	Inpatient-acute CVD	392	183	194	12 mo CA-BV	Individual counseling (7)
Rigotti et al⁵	2006	Inpatient-acute CVD	254	124	122	12 mo CA-BV	Telephone counseling (6)
Planer et al ⁴	2011	Inpatient-ACS	151	74	75	12 mo CA	Individual counseling (21)
Varenicline							
Rigotti et al ²⁵	2010	Outpatient-stable CVD	714	355	359	12 mo CA-BV	Individual Counseling (25)

Table 1.	Characteristics of RCTs Examining the Efficacy of Smoking Cessation Pharmacotherapies in Patients With C	Cardiovascular
Disease		

ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; BV, biochemically validated; C, control; CA, continuous abstinence; CVD, cardiovascular disease, I, intervention; and NRT, nicotine replacement therapy.

Quality Assessment

Overall, the pharmacotherapy trials had a low risk of bias (Table VII in the Data Supplement). Three studies had insufficient information about sequence generation and allocation concealment, and those were rated as having an unclear risk of bias. The studies had a low risk of bias for all other criteria, except one trial that had a high risk of bias for selectively reporting certain outcomes.

Among the 17 behavioral therapy RCTs that were included in this meta-analysis, 7 trials had insufficient information about sequence generation and 9 for allocation concealment, resulting in an unclear risk of bias for those domains. All behavioral trials had a high risk of bias for blinding of participants and personnel; this was expected given the nature of behavioral interventions. In addition, there were 2 studies with a high risk of having incomplete outcome data because of losses to follow-up that were not accounted for in the analysis. Four studies had a high risk of selective outcome reporting and 1 gave insufficient information for this domain. Seven studies had a high risk of other biases for issues related to missingness and imbalances in baseline characteristics between treatment groups.

Efficacy of Pharmacotherapies and Behavioral Therapies

In our direct comparisons of pharmacotherapies, the use of bupropion and NRTs for smoking cessation produced inconclusive results, although the possibility of some benefit is likely, particularly for bupropion (Figure 2; Table VIII in the Data Supplement). Interestingly, when data were stratified by stable and acute CVD populations, a difference in efficacy was observed (Figure I in the Data Supplement), with the one study conducted in stable CVD patients²⁴ finding bupropion to be highly efficacious compared with placebo (RR: 2.46; 95% CI, 1.63–3.71), whereas the pooled result for acute CVD patients suggested little to no benefit (RR: 1.16; 95% CI, 0.90–1.50). The efficacy of varenicline compared with placebo was only assessed in one study,²⁵ which found varenicline to be highly efficacious compared with placebo in stable CVD patients (RR: 2.64; 95% CI, 1.72–4.06; Figure 2).

In our direct comparison of behavioral therapies, we found that in-hospital counseling^{7,26-28} was not efficacious at increasing smoking abstinence (Figure 3). However, as the intensity of the behavioral interventions increased, greater increases in smoking abstinence were observed; telephone counseling^{26,29-36} was 50% more efficacious than usual care (RR: 1.50; 95% CI, 1.15–1.97), and individual counseling^{21,37-40} was 68% more efficacious than usual care (RR: 1.68; 95% CI, 1.13–2.48; Figure 3). Stratified analyses according to stable and acute CVD subtypes were also performed for behavioral therapies (Figures II through IV in the Data Supplement). Telephone counseling was efficacious in both the stable and acute subgroups; however, the effect appeared greater in the patients with acute CVD (RR: 1.96; 95% CI, 1.17–3.29) than in those with stable CVD (RR: 1.24; 95% CI, 1.04–1.48).

Network Meta-Analysis

In indirect comparisons via network meta-analysis, we first compared all pharmacological and behavioral therapies to a single reference group consisting of placebo or usual care (Figure 4). This analysis suggests that bupropion is an efficacious smoking cessation therapy (RR: 1.42; 95% CI, 1.01-2.00). For all other therapies, results were similar to those obtained in direct comparisons, with varenicline being the most efficacious therapy (RR: 2.64; 95% CI, 1.34–5.21), followed by individual (RR: 1.64; 95% CI, 1.17-2.28) and telephone counseling (RR: 1.47; 95% CI, 1.15–1.88). We then compared each therapy to each other (Table 3). Because of the wide 95% CIs obtained, data are insufficient to definitively demonstrate that one therapy is superior to another. However, varenicline seems to be more efficacious when compared with the placebo/usual care group (RR: 2.64; 95% CI, 1.34-5.21) than all the other pharmacological and behavioral treatments. As with our direct comparisons, a trend was observed where increasing intensity of behavioral

Table 2. Characteristics of RCTs Examining the Efficacy of Smoking Cessation Behavioral Interventions in Patients With Cardiovascular Disease

		Number of Patients (n)		(n)				
			Type of Intervention (Duration	Total	Efficacy A	Analysis	Most Rigorous	Adjunct
First Author	Year	Population	in Min/No. of Sessions)	Randomized	I	С	Outcome	Pharmacotherapy
In-hospital counseling		^				·		
Hajek et al ⁷	2002	Inpatient-acute CVD	Basic (34/1)	540	274	266	12 mo CA-BV	Not provided
Miller et al ²⁶	1997	Inpatient-CVD	Motivational support (58/2)	448	138	310	12 mo CA-BV	
Wiggers et al ²⁷	2006	Outpatient-stable CVD	Behavioral therapy (23/2)	384	188	188	12 mo PP-BV	Not provided
Rigotti et al28	1994	Inpatient-CABG	CBT (60/4)	89	44	43	12 mo CA-BV	Not provided
Telephone counseling								
Smith et al ²⁹	2011	Inpatient-CVD	Motivational support (53/8)	643	301	315	12 mo PP-BV	Not provided
Miller et al ²⁶	1997	Inpatient-CVD	Motivational support (88/5)	492	182	310	12 mo CA-BV	
Sivarajan Froelicher et al ³⁰	2004	Inpatient-CVD	Motivational support (75/6)	277	121	125	12 mo PP-BV	All high relapse patients
Smith and Burgess ³¹	2009	Inpatient-acute CVD	Motivational support (105/8)	276	135	137	12 mo PP	Free on-demand for all patients
Feeney et al ³²	2001	Inpatient-ACS	Motivational support (-/9)	198	96	102	12 mo CA-BV	Not provided
Taylor et al ³³	1990	Inpatient-ACS	CBT (210/8)	173	84	82	12 mo PP-BV	Free for IG only
Reid et al ³⁴	2007	Inpatient-CHD	Motivational support (60/4)	100	50	49	12 mo PP	Free on-demand for all patients
Cossette et al ³⁵	2012	Inpatient-acute CVD	Motivational support (102/8)	40	20	20	6 mo PP-BV	Free on-demand for all patients
Park et al ³⁶	2015	Inpatient-acute CVD	Motivational support (180/10)	62	30	32	12 mo PP-BV	Not provided
Individual counseling								
Ockene et al ³⁷	1992	Inpatient-CHD	Basic (73/6)	267	133	123	12 mo PP-BV	
Quist-Paulsen et al ²¹	2003	Inpatient-acute CVD	Behavioral therapy (150/12)	250	114	119	12 mo PP-BV	High relapse patients in IG
Mohiuddin et al ³⁸	2007	Inpatient-acute CVD	Behavioral therapy (510/9)	209	109	100	12 mo CA-BV	Free for IG only
Hennrikus et al ³⁹	2010	Outpatient-PAD	CBT (200/7)	124	61	59	6 mo PP-BV	Not provided
Brunner Frandsen et al40	2012	Inpatient-CVD	Motivational support (-/11)	94	49	45	6 mo PP-BV	Free on-demand for all patients

ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; BV, biochemically validated; C, control; CA, continuous abstinence; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CBT, cognitive behavioral therapy; CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; I, intervention; IG, intervention group; NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; PAD, peripheral vascular disease; and PP, point prevalence of abstinence.

therapy was associated with greater efficacy for smoking cessation. Surface under the cumulative ranking curve values and rankograms suggest that varenicline (P score=0.96) and individual counseling (P score=0.72) ranked as the most efficacious treatments (Table IX and Figure V in the Data Supplement).

The geometry of the treatment network was described using diagrams of all comparisons between treatments in a network (Figures VI and VII in the Data Supplement). The shape of the network is mainly star shaped. In addition, diversity and co-occurrence were assessed. First, when assessing diversity, we observed that the network is moderately complex, with 6 interventions studied for smoking cessation. These interventions seem to have been studied slightly disproportionately. Second, when examining the network for co-occurrence, we observed that not all pairs were studied equally. The behavioral interventions have been studied more often than the pharmacotherapy interventions. This irregularity is normal because pharmacotherapy trials are more difficult to conduct because of the more invasive nature of the therapy. Additionally, inconsistency plots for fixed- and random-effects models (Figures VIII and IX in the Data Supplement) did not show signs of important inconsistency between trials in the network.

Safety of Pharmacotherapies and Behavioral Therapies

Safety data extracted from the pharmacotherapy trials showed a slightly higher number of adverse cardiovascular events in the treatment arm of the studies (Table 4). However, given the insufficient number of RCTs reporting safety data and the varying definitions of adverse cardiovascular events, it was

	Treatm	nent	Place	ebo	Risk Ratio			
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total		RR	95%-CI	Weight
Bupropion								
Tonstad 2003 ²⁴	69	313	28	313		2.46	[1.63; 3.71]	26.2
Eisenberg 2013 ³	49	183	43	194		1.21	[0.85; 1.73]	27.9
Rigotti 2006 ⁵	25	124	17	122		1.45	[0.82; 2.54]	21.4
Planer 2011 ⁴	23	74	25	75		0.93	[0.58; 1.49]	24.4
Random effects model		694		704	\sim	1.42	[0.93; 2.16]	
Heterogeneity: I-squared=7	2.4%							
NRT								
Joseph 1996 ²³	41	294	32	290		1.26	[0.82; 1.95]	67.8
Campbell 1991 ²²	15	44	12	41		1.16	[0.62; 2.18]	32.2
Random effects model Heterogeneity: I-squared=0	%	338		331		1.23	[0.86; 1.76]	
Varenicline								
Rigotti 2010 ²⁵	68	355	26	359		2.64	[1.72; 4.06]	100
Random effects model		355		359	$\langle \rangle$	2.64	[1.72; 4.06]	
Heterogeneity: not applical	ole for a sin	igle stu	ıdy				• • •	
				Г				
				0.	1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5	10		

not possible to pool these data across trials or draw meaningful quantitative conclusions.

Sensitivity Analysis

We performed separate network analyses for pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies, and the results were similar to those of our primary network analysis, supporting the assumption of homogeneity of comparison groups (Figures X and XI in the Data Supplement). In addition, we repeated our network meta-analyses excluding behavioral trials in which pharmacotherapies were only available to patients in the active treatment group; the results were consistent with those of our primary analysis (Table X in the Data Supplement). Analyses restricted to trials that biochemically validated abstinence were consistent for behavioral interventions (Figure XII in the Data Supplement). For bupropion, some differences existed, but this is likely because of sparse data, as only one RCT did not biochemically validate abstinence (Figure XIII and Tables XI and XII in the Data Supplement). Subgroup network **Figure 2.** Forest plot describing the efficacy of pharmacotherapies using the most rigorous criterion of smoking abstinence reported relative to that of placebo in patients with cardiovascular disease. Cl indicates confidence interval; NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; and RR, relative risk.

analyses for acute CVD were inconclusive because of sparse data (Table XIII in the Data Supplement). For stable CVD, results were consistent with those of the primary analysis; however, bupropion had a stronger effect in this group (Table XIV in the Data Supplement).

Discussion

We designed this systematic review and network meta-analysis to determine the efficacy and safety of smoking cessation pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies in patients with CVD who are motivated to quit smoking. Our results for NRTs were inconclusive because of wide CIs. However, available evidence suggests that bupropion is efficacious at increasing abstinence in patients with CVD. To date, only one study has assessed varenicline use in this population, and this study found that varenicline is highly efficacious in patients with stable CVD. We also observed that increasing intensity of the behavioral intervention was positively associated with increasing smoking abstinence, with telephone counseling

	Interve	ention	Usual (Care		Risk Ratio)				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total				RR	9	5%-CI	Weight
In-Hospital Counselling											
Hajek 2002 ⁷	94	254	102	251				0.91	[0.73;	1.13]	50.7
Miller 1997 ²⁶	38	138	74	310				1.15	[0.82;	1.61]	21.6
Wiggers 2006 ²⁷	38	188	32	188				1.19	[0.78;	1.82]	13.5
Rigotti 1994 ²⁸	22	44	22	43				0.98	[0.65;	1.48]	14.1
Random effects model		624		792		\$		1.00	[0.86;	1.17]	
Heterogeneity: I-squared=0%											
Telephone Counselling											
Miller 1997 29	62	182	74	310				1.43	[1.07;	1.89]	16.9
Smith 2009 31	73	135	48	137		-		1.54	[1.17;	2.03]	17
Sivarajan Froelicher 2004 30	58	121	52	125				1.15	[0.87;	1.52]	17
Feeney 2001 32	31	92	1	97			\longrightarrow	32.68	[4.55; 2	34.56]	1.7
Smith 2011 ²⁹	39	92	33	88				1.13	[0.79;	1.62]	15.1
Taylor 1990 ³³	47	84	20	82				2.29	[1.50;	3.51]	13.7
Reid 2007 ³⁴	23	50	17	49				1.33	[0.81;	2.16]	12.4
Cossette 2010 35	5	20	6	20		×	-	0.83	[0.30;	2.29]	5.3
Park 2015 ³⁶	14	30	0	32		-	>	30.90	[1.93; 4	95.89]	0.9
Random effects model		806		940		\diamond		1.50	[1.15;	1.97]	
Heterogeneity: I-squared=67.4	%										
Individual Counselling											
Ockene 1992 ³⁷	47	133	34	123				1.28	[0.89;	1.85]	25.7
Quist-Paulsen 2003 ²¹	57	114	44	119				1.35	[1.00;	1.82]	27.8
Mohiuddin 2007 ³⁸	43	109	11	100			-	3.59	[1.96;	6.56]	18.5
Hennrikus 2010 ³⁹	13	61	4	59			*	3.14	[1.09;	9.09]	9.6
Brunner Frandsen 2012 ⁴⁰	16	49	13	45		-		1.13	[0.61;	2.08]	18.4
Random effects model		466		446		\langle	>	1.68	[1.13;	2.48]	
Heterogeneity: I-squared=66.3	%										
					1 1	1 1 1	í I				
				0.	.1 0.2	0.5 1 2	2 5 10				

Figure 3. Forest plot describing the efficacy of behavioral therapies using the most rigorous criterion of smoking abstinence reported relative to that of usual care in patients with cardiovascular disease. Cl, indicates confidence interval; and RR, relative risk.



Figure 4. Forest plot describing the network meta-analysis of the efficacy of pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies using the most rigorous criterion of smoking abstinence reported relative to that of placebo/usual care in patients with cardiovascular disease. Estimate of heterogeneity (*I*²): 61.5%. Cl indicates confidence interval; NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; and RR, relative risk.

and individual counseling both associated with increased smoking abstinence compared with in-hospital interventions. Our network meta-analysis ranked varenicline and individual counseling as the most efficacious smoking cessation treatments in this population.

Cardiac patients are at a higher risk for cardiovascular events compared with the general population, resulting in a greater motivation to quit smoking after a cardiac event.¹¹ It is, therefore, not surprising to observe different treatment effects in CVD patients and those from the general population. Trials studying the efficacy of pharmacotherapies in the CVD population remain scarce despite the well-established benefits of smoking cessation in this population, including decreased risks of stroke and myocardial infarction and lower mortality rates from CVD.⁴¹ This may be related to safety concerns surrounding the use of smoking cessation pharmacotherapies during the acute period.⁴ For example, there is a relative contraindication to NRT use in the 2 weeks post-myocardial infarction as NRT increases blood pressure and heart rate, particularly in cardiovascular patients.⁴² Nonetheless. NRT is the most widely prescribed smoking cessation drug, and it is a central component of the Ottawa Heart Model⁴³ and the Clinical Practice Guidelines of 2008 for treating tobacco dependence,44 standards of care in Canada and the United States, respectively.

Safety concerns also exist about the use of varenicline in patients with CVD. One RCT showed a higher number of cardiovascular adverse events in the group taking varenicline, but the 95% CI was wide (6.5 versus 6.0%; difference: 0.5%; 95% CI, -3.1 to 4.1%).25 A meta-analysis found an increased risk of CVD with varenicline in all patients45 including cardiovascular-related death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and nonfatal stroke, but subsequent meta-analyses concluded that there was no association with varenicline⁴⁶ or any pharmacotherapy.⁴⁷ In addition, an observational study conducted by the US Mini-Sentinel system found no evidence of an increased risk.⁴⁸ On the basis of the available evidence, the US Food and Drug Administration has concluded that, although an increased risk of major cardiovascular events cannot be ruled out, the health benefits attributable to increased cessation with varenicline suggest that benefits likely outweigh potential harms.⁴⁹ Concerns of neuropsychiatric harms of varenicline and bupropion also exist, including changes in mood (including depression and mania), psychosis, hallucinations, paranoia, delusions, homicidal ideation, hostility, agitation, aggression, anxiety, and panic, as well as suicidal ideation, suicide attempt, and completed suicide.50,51 With most trials excluding patients with a history of neuropsychiatric disease, neuropsychiatric adverse event data are limited. However, the recently completed the EAGLES (Evaluating Adverse Events in a Global Smoking Cessation Study) trial found no increase in neuropsychiatric adverse events among patients randomized to varenicline or bupropion relative to those randomized to nicotine patch or placebo.52

We identified 7 previous systematic reviews on the efficacy of smoking cessation interventions in CVD patients. Overall, the pharmacotherapy reviews found greater abstinence with active treatment.^{11,12,53} The reviews that assessed the effect of the combination of pharmacotherapy and behavioral therapy had inconsistent results.^{13,14} The 2 systematic reviews that examined only behavioral therapies found higher cessation rates with higher intensity behavioral interventions.^{9,10} These systematic reviews are outdated; with at least 4 new RCTs meeting our inclusion criteria have been published since the searches for these previous reviews were conducted. The present study, thus, provides a more contemporary synthesis of the literature and is, to our knowledge, the first to include both

Table 3. Network Meta-Analysis of Pharmacotherapies and Behavioral Therapies for Smoking Cessation in Patients With Cardiovascular Disease

Treatment	Placebo/Usual Care	Bupropion	NRT	Varenicline	In-Hospital Counseling	Telephone Counseling	Individual Counseling
Placebo/usual care	1.00	0.70 (0.50–0.99)	0.82 (0.48–1.38)	0.38 (0.19–0.75)	0.95 (0.70–1.29)	0.68 (0.53–0.87)	0.61 (0.44–0.85)
Bupropion	1.42 (1.01–2.01)	1.00	1.16 (0.62–2.18)	0.54 (0.25–1.15)	1.35 (0.85–2.14)	0.97 (0.63–1.48)	0.87 (0.54–1.41)
NRT	1.22 (0.72–2.07)	0.86 (0.46-1.61)	1.00	0.46 (0.20–1.09)	1.16 (0.63–2.13)	0.83 (0.47–1.48)	0.75 (0.40–1.39)
Varenicline	2.64 (1.34–5.21)	1.86 (0.87–3.98)	2.16 (0.92–5.10)	1.00	2.51 (1.19–5.29)	1.80 (0.87–3.70)	1.62 (0.76–3.44)
In-hospital counseling	1.05 (0.78–1.43)	0.74 (0.47–1.17)	0.86 (0.47–1.58)	0.40 (0.19–0.84)	1.00	0.72 (0.50–1.03)	0.64 (0.41–1.01)
Telephone counseling	1.47 (1.15–1.88)	1.03 (0.68–1.58)	1.20 (0.67–2.15)	0.56 (0.27–1.14)	1.40 (0.97–2.02)	1.00	0.90 (0.59–1.36)
Individual counseling	1.64 (1.17–2.28)	1.15 (0.71–1.86)	1.34 (0.72–2.49)	0.62 (0.29–1.32)	1.55 (0.99–2.44)	1.11 (0.74–1.68)	1.00

RRs and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using random-effects models. RRs are comparing the treatment in the far left column to that listed at the top of the column. NRT indicates nicotine replacement therapy; and RR, risk ratio.

		Placebo		Trea	tment
Author	Year	Total (N)	n ≥1 CVD AE n (%)*	Total (N)	n ≥1 CVD AE n (%)*
NRT					
Campbell et al ²²	1991				
Joseph et al23	1996	290	47 (16.2)	294	48 (16.3)
Bupropion					
Tonstad et al ²⁴	2003	313	14 (4.5)	313	24 (7.7)
Rigotti et al⁵	2006	124	22 (17.7)	124	32 (25.8)
Planer et al ^₄	2011	75	14 (18.7)	74	11 (14.9)
Eisenberg et al ³	2013	200	16 (8.0)	192	17 (8.9)
Varenicline					
Rigotti et al ²⁵	2010	350	20 (5.7)	353	25 (7.1)

Table 4. Adverse Cardiovascular Events in Pharmacotherapy Trials Conducted in Patients With Cardiovascular Disease

AE indicates adverse events; CVD, cardiovascular disease; and NRT, nicotine replacement therapy.

*Number of patients with one or more cardiovascular adverse events.

direct and indirect comparisons of smoking cessation therapy use in this patient population. The results of this study, along with patient and physician preference, and consideration of the issue of polypharmacy in this population, should be considered when determining the most appropriate choice of smoking cessation therapy in CVD patients.

Our study has some potential limitations. First, some heterogeneity existed in the definition of smoking abstinence, and there were an insufficient number of included trials to conduct sensitivity analyses by abstinence definition. Second, in our network meta-analysis comparing all treatments, we made 2 key assumptions. The first was the transitivity assumption, which states that patients in the network had equal chances of getting the treatment. To assess whether this assumption was met, we conducted several subgroup and sensitivity analyses that suggested that no major violations were present. The I^2 statistic for our network also suggested the presence of only moderate heterogeneity. Nonetheless, with differences in dose, use of cointerventions, and inherent differences between pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies, this assumption may not have been fully satisfied. However, analyses stratified by type of intervention (pharmacotherapy versus behavioral therapy), type of CVD, and biochemical validation produced consistent results, suggesting that mild violations of this assumption are unlikely to have impacted our conclusions. We also assumed that the comparison groups of pharmacotherapies (placebo) and behavioral therapies (usual care) were equivalent; the sensitivity analysis testing this assumption produced similar results to those of our primary analysis. The second was the consistency assumption; our assessment of inconsistency plots suggested the presence of moderate heterogeneity in the network. Third, concerning the network geometry, although the network is not entirely symmetrical, the asymmetry of the network is likely because of greater safety concerns with the pharmacotherapies than with the behavioral therapies. The asymmetry may also be because of publication bias. The selection forces that shape the network, called comparator preference bias, are practically inevitable in network meta-analysis.⁵⁴ Fourth, we excluded conference abstracts because their results are often not final, and they contain insufficient information to assess study quality. Although we attempted to contact the authors of full-length articles to seek additional information, no attempt was made to contact the authors of conference abstracts. The exclusion of such abstracts may increase the risk of publication bias, potentially affecting the results of our network meta-analysis. Fifth, the exclusion of trials published in a language other than English or French may have also resulted in language bias. Sixth, most of our head-to-head evidence was obtained via indirect comparison because of the small number of RCTs directly comparing smoking cessation therapies to each other conducted in this patient population. Seventh, some studies were excluded because of the inclusion of patients not motivated to quit because differences in the distribution of an effect modifier such as motivation to quit may result in a violation of the consistency assumption.15 Unfortunately, we were restricted to the aggregate data presented in the published articles. If patientlevel data had been available, we would have been able to use regression or stratification to account for differences in motivation to quit. Finally, we were unable to pool safety data from the pharmacotherapy trials because of inconsistent reporting of events and heterogeneous adverse event definitions.

Conclusions

Our network meta-analysis suggests that among patients who are motivated to quit smoking, varenicline and bupropion are efficacious for smoking cessation in patients with CVD, whereas available data about NRTs are inconclusive. Individual and telephone counseling also seem to be efficacious in this patient population. Overall, available evidence suggests that varenicline is the most efficacious smoking cessation therapy in patients with CVD who are motivated to quit smoking, although more safety data are needed. Given the small number of participants considered in these analyses, there remains a need for RCTs sufficiently powered to examine safety in this patient population as well as large, populationbased observational studies to examine this issue.

Acknowledgments

We thank Angella Lambrou, a health librarian who peer-reviewed the systematic search strategy, and Sophie Vincent and Joseph Mancini, for their assistance with article screening and data extraction.

Sources of Funding

This study was supported by a knowledge synthesis grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR, grant number: KRS-132056). J. Larivière was supported by the Victor Dzau and Ruth Cooper-Dzau Research Bursary and the Sir Edward W. Beatty Memorial Scholarship, both funded through the McGill University Research Bursary Program for health professional students. Dr Filion holds a CIHR New Investigator Award.

Disclosures

Dr Eisenberg received a grant from Pfizer, Inc, to perform the investigator-initiated Evaluation of Varenicline in Smoking Cessation for Patients Post-Acute Coronary Syndrome (EVITA) trial (NCT00794573). He also received honoraria from Pfizer, Inc, for providing continuing medical education on smoking cessation. The other authors report no conflicts.

References

- Eisenberg MJ, Filion KB, Yavin D, Bélisle P, Mottillo S, Joseph L, Gervais A, O'Loughlin J, Paradis G, Rinfret S, Pilote L. Pharmacotherapies for smoking cessation: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *CMAJ*. 2008;179:135–144. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.070256.
- Mottillo S, Filion KB, Bélisle P, Joseph L, Gervais A, O'Loughlin J, Paradis G, Pihl R, Pilote L, Rinfret S, Tremblay M, Eisenberg MJ. Behavioural interventions for smoking cessation: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur Heart J*. 2009;30:718–730. doi: 10.1093/ eurheartj/ehn552.
- Eisenberg MJ, Grandi SM, Gervais A, O'Loughlin J, Paradis G, Rinfret S, Sarrafzadegan N, Sharma S, Lauzon C, Yadav R, Pilote L; ZESCA Investigators. Bupropion for smoking cessation in patients hospitalized with acute myocardial infarction: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:524–532. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.08.1030.
- Planer D, Lev I, Elitzur Y, Sharon N, Ouzan E, Pugatsch T, Chasid M, Rom M, Lotan C. Bupropion for smoking cessation in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Arch Intern Med.* 2011;171:1055–1060. doi: 10.1001/ archinternmed.2011.72.
- Rigotti NA, Thorndike AN, Regan S, McKool K, Pasternak RC, Chang Y, Swartz S, Torres-Finnerty N, Emmons KM, Singer DE. Bupropion for smokers hospitalized with acute cardiovascular disease. *Am J Med.* 2006;119:1080–1087. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2006.04.024.
- McBride CM, Emmons KM, Lipkus IM. Understanding the potential of teachable moments: the case of smoking cessation. *Health Educ Res.* 2003;18:156–170.
- Hajek P, Taylor TZ, Mills P. Brief intervention during hospital admission to help patients to give up smoking after myocardial infarction and bypass surgery: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2002;324:87–89.
- Hutton B, Salanti G, Caldwell DM, Chaimani A, Schmid CH, Cameron C, Ioannidis JP, Straus S, Thorlund K, Jansen JP, Mulrow C, Catalá-López F, Gøtzsche PC, Dickersin K, Boutron I, Altman DG, Moher D. The PRISMA extension statement for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analyses of health care interventions: checklist and explanations. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;162:777–784. doi: 10.7326/M14-2385.
- Aziz O, Skapinakis P, Rahman S, Rao C, Ashrafian H, Panesar SS, Darzi A, Foale R, Athanasiou T. Behavioural interventions for smoking cessation in patients hospitalised for a major cardiovascular event. *Int J Cardiol.* 2009;137:171–174. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2008.05.029.
- Barth J, Critchley J, Bengel J. Psychosocial interventions for smoking cessation in patients with coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008:CD006886. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006886.pub2.
- Eisenberg MJ, Blum LM, Filion KB, Rinfret S, Pilote L, Paradis G, Joseph L, Gervais A, O'Loughlin J. The efficacy of smoking cessation therapies in cardiac patients: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Can J Cardiol.* 2010;26:73–79.
- Grandi SM, Shimony A, Eisenberg MJ. Bupropion for smoking cessation in patients hospitalized with cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Can J Cardiol.* 2013;29:1704–1711. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2013.09.014.
- Rigotti NA, Munafo MR, Stead LF. Smoking cessation interventions for hospitalized smokers: a systematic review. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168:1950–1960. doi: 10.1001/archinte.168.18.1950.
- Rigotti NA, Clair C, Munafo MR, Stead LF. Interventions for smoking cessation in hospitalised patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;5:CD001837. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006886.
- Jansen JP, Naci H. Is network meta-analysis as valid as standard pairwise meta-analysis? It all depends on the distribution of effect modifiers. *BMC Med.* 2013;11:159. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-159.
- West R, Hajek P, Stead L, Stapleton J. Outcome criteria in smoking cessation trials: proposal for a common standard. *Addiction*. 2005;100:299– 303. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00995.x.
- Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. http://handbook.cochrane.org.
- Rücker G. Network meta-analysis, electrical networks and graph theory. *Res Synth Methods*. 2012;3:312–324. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1058.
- R Core Team (2012). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http:// www.R-project.org/.

- Brown S, Hutton B, Clifford T, Coyle D, Grima D, Wells G, Cameron C. A Microsoft-Excel-based tool for running and critically appraising network meta-analyses–an overview and application of NetMetaXL. Syst Rev. 2014;3:110. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-3-110.
- Quist-Paulsen P, Gallefoss F. Randomised controlled trial of smoking cessation intervention after admission for coronary heart disease. *BMJ*. 2003;327:1254–1257. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7426.1254.
- Campbell IA, Prescott RJ, Tjeder-Burton SM. Smoking cessation in hospital patients given repeated advice plus nicotine or placebo chewing gum. *Respir Med.* 1991;85:155–157.
- 23. Joseph AM, Norman SM, Ferry LH, Prochazka AV, Westman EC, Steele BG, Sherman SE, Cleveland M, Antonuccio DO, Antonnucio DO, Hartman N, McGovern PG. The safety of transdermal nicotine as an aid to smoking cessation in patients with cardiac disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;335:1792–1798. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199612123352402.
- Tonstad S, Farsang C, Klaene G, Lewis K, Manolis A, Perruchoud AP, Silagy C, van Spiegel PI, Astbury C, Hider A, Sweet R. Bupropion SR for smoking cessation in smokers with cardiovascular disease: a multicentre, randomised study. *Eur Heart J*. 2003;24:946–955.
- Rigotti NA, Pipe AL, Benowitz NL, Arteaga C, Garza D, Tonstad S. Efficacy and safety of varenicline for smoking cessation in patients with cardiovascular disease: a randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2010;121:221– 229. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.869008.
- Miller NH, Smith PM, DeBusk RF, Sobel DS, Taylor CB. Smoking cessation in hospitalized patients. Results of a randomized trial. *Arch Intern Med.* 1997;157:409–415.
- Wiggers LC, Smets EM, Oort FJ, Peters RJ, Storm-Versloot MN, Vermeulen H, de Haes HC, Legemate DA. The effect of a minimal intervention strategy in addition to nicotine replacement therapy to support smoking cessation in cardiovascular outpatients: a randomized clinical trial. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* 2006;13:931–937. doi: 10.1097/hjr.0b013e328010f263.
- Rigotti NA, McKool KM, Shiffman S. Predictors of smoking cessation after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Results of a randomized trial with 5-year follow-up. *Ann Intern Med.* 1994;120:287–293.
- Smith PM, Corso L, Brown KS, Cameron R. Nurse case-managed tobacco cessation interventions for general hospital patients: results of a randomized clinical trial. *Can J Nurs Res.* 2011;43:98–117.
- Sivarajan Froelicher ES, Miller NH, Christopherson DJ, Martin K, Parker KM, Amonetti M, Lin Z, Sohn M, Benowitz N, Taylor CB, Bacchetti P. High rates of sustained smoking cessation in women hospitalized with cardiovascular disease: the Women's Initiative for Nonsmoking (WINS). *Circulation*. 2004;109:587–593. doi: 10.1161/01. CIR.0000115310.36419.9E.
- Smith PM, Burgess E. Smoking cessation initiated during hospital stay for patients with coronary artery disease: a randomized controlled trial. *CMAJ*. 2009;180:1297–1303. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.080862.
- Feeney GF, McPherson A, Connor JP, McAlister A, Young MR, Garrahy P. Randomized controlled trial of two cigarette quit programmes in coronary care patients after acute myocardial infarction. *Intern Med J.* 2001;31:470–475.
- Taylor CB, Houston-Miller N, Killen JD, DeBusk RF. Smoking cessation after acute myocardial infarction: effects of a nurse-managed intervention. *Ann Intern Med.* 1990;113:118–123.
- Reid RD, Pipe AL, Quinlan B, Oda J. Interactive voice response telephony to promote smoking cessation in patients with heart disease: a pilot study. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2007;66:319–326. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2007.01.005.
- Cossette S, Frasure-Smith N, Robert M, Chouinard MC, Juneau M, Guertin MC, Cournoyer A, Mailhot T, Kayser JW. A pilot randomized trial of a smoking cessation nursing intervention in cardiac patients after hospital discharge. *Can J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2012;22:16–26.
- 36. Park AH, Lee SJ, Oh SJ. The effects of a smoking cessation programme on health-promoting lifestyles and smoking cessation in smokers who had undergone percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int J Nurs Pract*. 2015;21:107–117. doi: 10.1111/ijn.12230.
- Ockene J, Kristeller JL, Goldberg R, Ockene I, Merriam P, Barrett S, Pekow P, Hosmer D, Gianelly R. Smoking cessation and severity of disease: the Coronary Artery Smoking Intervention Study. *Health Psychol.* 1992;11:119–126.
- Mohiuddin SM, Mooss AN, Hunter CB, Grollmes TL, Cloutier DA, Hilleman DE. Intensive smoking cessation intervention reduces mortality in high-risk smokers with cardiovascular disease. *Chest*. 2007;131:446– 452. doi: 10.1378/chest.06-1587.
- Hennrikus D, Joseph AM, Lando HA, Duval S, Ukestad L, Kodl M, Hirsch AT. Effectiveness of a smoking cessation program for peripheral artery disease patients: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;56:2105–2112. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.07.031.

- Brunner Frandsen N, Sørensen M, Hyldahl TK, Henriksen RM, Bak S. Smoking cessation intervention after ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack. A randomized controlled pilot trial. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2012;14:443–447. doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntr233.
- 41. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Benefits of Smoking Cessation. A Report of the Surgeon General.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health DHHS Publication (CDC); 1990:90–8416.
- Pickering TG. Effects of stress and behavioral interventions in hypertension-the effects of smoking and nicotine replacement therapy on blood pressure. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2001;3:319–321.
- University of Ottawa Heart Institute. Ottawa Model for Smoking Cessation: 2012–2015 Highlights. http://ottawamodel.ottawaheart.ca/ sites/ottawamodel.ottawaheart.ca/files/omsc_hmpg/omsc_highlight_document_2016.pdf. Accessed June 3, 2016.
- 44. Fiore MC, Jaen CR, Baker TB, Bailey TB, Benowitz NL, Curry SJ, Dorfman SF, Froelicher ES, Healton CG, Henderson PN. Treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 update. *Clinical Practice Guideline Rockville, MD, US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service*. http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/clinicians-providers/ guidelines-recommendations/tobacco/index.html. Content last reviewed June 2015. Accessed June 3, 2016.
- Singh S, Loke YK, Spangler JG, Furberg CD. Risk of serious adverse cardiovascular events associated with varenicline: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ*. 2011;183:1359–1366. doi: 10.1503/ cmaj.110218.
- Prochaska JJ, Hilton JF. Risk of cardiovascular serious adverse events associated with varenicline use for tobacco cessation: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e2856.

- Mills EJ, Thorlund K, Eapen S, Wu P, Prochaska JJ. Cardiovascular events associated with smoking cessation pharmacotherapies: a network meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2014;129:28–41. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.113.003961.
- Toh S, Baker MA, Brown JS, Kornegay C, Platt R; Mini-Sentinel Investigators. Rapid assessment of cardiovascular risk among users of smoking cessation drugs within the US Food and Drug Administration's Mini-Sentinel program. JAMA Intern Med. 2013;173:817–819. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.3004.
- US Food and Drug Administration. FDA Drug Safety Communication: Safety review update of Chantix (varenicline) and risk of cardiovascular adverse events. 2012. http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm330367. htm.
- Beyens MN, Guy C, Mounier G, Laporte S, Ollagnier M. Serious adverse reactions of bupropion for smoking cessation: analysis of the French Pharmacovigilance Database from 2001 to 2004. *Drug Saf.* 2008;31:1017–1026.
- Thomas KH, Martin RM, Knipe DW, Higgins JP, Gunnell D. Risk of neuropsychiatric adverse events associated with varenicline: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h1109.
- 52. Anthenelli RM, Benowitz NL, West R, St Aubin L, McRae T, Lawrence D, Ascher J, Russ C, Krishen A, Evins AE. Neuropsychiatric safety and efficacy of varenicline, bupropion, and nicotine patch in smokers with and without psychiatric disorders (EAGLES): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387:2507–2520. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30272-0.
- Ludvig J, Miner B, Eisenberg MJ. Smoking cessation in patients with coronary artery disease. *Am Heart J.* 2005;149:565–572. doi: 10.1016/j. ahj.2004.09.040.
- Salanti G, Kavvoura FK, Ioannidis JP. Exploring the geometry of treatment networks. *Ann Intern Med.* 2008;148:544–553.





Efficacy and Safety of Smoking Cessation Interventions in Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: A Network Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials Karine Suissa, Jordan Larivière, Mark J. Eisenberg, Maria Eberg, Genevieve C. Gore, Roland Grad, Lawrence Joseph, Pauline M. Reynier and Kristian B. Filion

Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2017;10: doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.115.002458 Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Copyright © 2017 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1941-7705. Online ISSN: 1941-7713

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://circoutcomes.ahajournals.org/content/10/1/e002458

Data Supplement (unedited) at:

http://circoutcomes.ahajournals.org/content/suppl/2017/01/16/CIRCOUTCOMES.115.002458.DC1.html

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at: http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes* is online at: http://circoutcomes.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental table 1. Description of literature search on EMBASE (through Ovid) for trials of pharmacotherapy or behavioral therapy for smoking cessation in cardiac patients.

Search Number	Search Description	Number of Results
1	Smoking Cessation/	40,881
2	((cigarette* or tobacco or smoking or smoker*) and (cease\$1 or	56,150
	cessation or quit* or stop*)).mp.	
3	or/1-2	56,150
4	amfebutamone/	14,595
5	bupropion.mp.	4,613
6	zyban.mp.	1,003
7	amfebutamone.mp.	14,707
8	varenicline/	2,852
9	varenicline.mp.	2,975
10	champix.mp.	270
11	chantix.mp.	358
12	(nicotine and (patch or patches or lozenge\$1 or inhaler\$1 or nasal	9,192
	spray\$1 or transdermal system* or gum or polacrilex or	
	replacement)).mp.	
13	nicotine patch/	1,472
14	nicotine lozenge/	149
15	nicotine replacement therapy/	3,508
16	nicotine gum/	2,458
17	nicoderm.mp.	402
18	nicorette.mp.	645
19	habitrol.mp.	173
20	exp Behavior Therapy/	38,566
21	(behavio?r* adj5 (therap* or intervention*)).mp.	74,885
22	cognitive therap*.mp.	37,882
23	exp Counselling/	118,436
24	counsel?ing.mp.	145,382
25	pharmacotherap*.mp.	39,586
26	(pharmaco* adj3 (therap* or intervention*)).mp.	51,813
27	physician attitude/	43,727
28	patient education/	91,014
29	(physician* adj3 advice).mp.	1,284
30	(physician* adj role*).mp.	1,639
31	patient education.mp.	96,233
32	minimal* invasive* intervention*.mp.	447
33	combined modality therap*.mp.	3,004
34	nrt.mp.	1,801
35	exp psychotherapy/	206,079
36	psychotherap*.mp.	106,176
37	psychoeducation*.mp.	6,815
38	(combination therap* or combination treatment*).mp.	62,119

39	("Electronics"/ or Electrical Equipment/ or Nebulizer/) and (tobacco/ or	351
	tobacco dependence/ or smoking cessation/ or exp smoking/ or nicotine/)	
40	electronic cigarette/	809
41	(electronic adj3 cig*).mp.	961
42	(e cig* or ecig*).mp.	666
43	nicotine delivery.mp.	430
44	endd.mp.	11
45	non-cigarette.mp.	101
46	(vaper\$1 or vape or vaping).mp.	83
47	(vapo?r adj3 cigarette*).mp.	81
48	(non-combustible or noncombustible).mp.	97
49	reduced exposure product*.mp.	74
50	personal vapo?ri?er*.mp.	3
51	nicotine vapo?ri?er*.mp.	5
52	electronic smok*.mp.	7
53	(nicotine adj (inhaler\$1 or inhalator\$1)).mp.	121
54	or/4-53	655,090
55	3 and 54	17,599
56	exp Cardiovascular Disease/	3,404.068
57	exp heart surgery/	290,046
58	exp Cardiovascular System/	1,662,860
59	coronar*.mp.	569,357
60	angina.mp.	99,895
61	aneurysm*.mp.	165,769
62	arrhythmi*.mp.	171,511
63	(atrium or atrial).mp.	254,917
64	cardia*.mp.	741,795
65	cardio*.mp.	1,237,403
66	cerebrovascular.mp.	191,901
67	heart*.mp.	2,057,984
68	revasculari*.mp.	82,066
69	CVD.mp.	30,199
70	myocard*.mp.	461,515
71	arter*.mp.	1,453,723
72	vascular.mp.	862,283
73	renovascular*.mp.	24,358
74	(stroke or strokes).mp.	297,648
75	isch?em*.mp.	566,367
76	endocardi*.mp.	71,467
77	ventric*.mp.	592,885
78	pericard*.mp.	65,192
79	embol*.mp.	213,476
80	thromb*.mp.	806,401

81	tachycardi*.mp.	127,043
82	bradycardi*.mp.	51,553
83	sick sinus.mp.	4,537
84	apople*.mp.	5,263
85	((brain or cerebral) adj2 (accident* or injur*)).mp.	129,432
86	((brain* or cerebral or lacunar) adj2 infarct*).mp.	54,568
87	or/56-86	5,566,774
88	55 and 87	4,544
89	Clinical trial/	854,019
90	Randomized controlled trial/	375,456
91	Randomization/	66,806
92	Single blind procedure/	20,364
93	Double blind procedure/	125,491
94	Crossover procedure/	43,422
95	Placebo/	274,199
96	Randomi?ed controlled trial\$.tw.	117,631
97	Rct.tw.	17,336
98	Random allocation.tw.	1,497
99	Randomly allocated.tw.	22,825
100	Allocated randomly.tw.	2,058
101	(allocated adj2 random).tw.	884
102	Single blind\$.tw.	16,223
103	Double blind\$.tw.	161,116
104	((treble or triple) adj blind\$).tw.	498
105	Placebo\$.tw.	224,622
106	Prospective study/	294,074
107	or/89-106	1,498,033
108	88 and 107	1,542

Supplemental table 2. Description of literature search on PsycINFO (through Ovid) for trials of pharmacotherapy or behavioral therapy for smoking cessation in cardiac patients.

Search Number	Search Description	Number of Results
1	Smoking Cessation/	9,947
2	((cigarette* or tobacco or smoking or smoker*) and (cease\$1 or cessation or quit* or stop*)).mp.	14,884
3	or/1-2	14,884
4	Bupropion/	827
5	bupropion.mp.	1,802
6	zyban.mp.	44
7	amfebutamone.mp.	6
8	varenicline.mp.	494
9	champix.mp.	13
10	chantix.mp.	31
11	(nicotine and (patch or patches or lozenge\$1 or inhaler\$1 or nasal spray\$1 or transdermal system* or gum or polacrilex or replacement)).mp.	2,370
12	nicoderm.mp.	11
13	nicorette.mp.	33
14	habitrol.mp.	2
15	exp Behavior Modification/	39,136
16	(behavio?r* adj5 (therap* or intervention*)).mp.	64,133
17	cognitive therapy/	11,982
18	cognitive therap*.mp.	14,252
19	exp Counselling/	68,611
20	counsel?ing.mp.	86,591
21	pharmacotherap*.mp.	11,857
22	(pharmaco* adj3 (therap* or intervention*)).mp.	6,429
23	exp psychotherapist attitude/	1,182
24	exp psychologist attitude/	1,019
25	counselor role/	1.252
26	therapist role/	1,589
27	client education/	3,203
28	(physician* adj3 advice).mp.	303
29	(physician* adj role*).mp.	406
30	patient education.mp.	2,526
31	minimal* invasive* intervention*.mp.	6
32	combined modality therap*.mp.	3
33	nrt.mp.	626
34	exp psychotherapy/	184,046
35	psychotherap*.mp.	159,820
36	psychoeducation*.mp.	7,923
37	(combination therap* or combination treatment*).mp.	2,098

38	(electronic adj3 cig*).mp.	194
39	(e cig* or ecig*).mp.	194
40	nicotine delivery.mp.	208
41	endd.mp.	2
42	non-cigarette.mp.	19
43	(vaper\$1 or vape or vaping).mp.	21
44	(vapo?r adj3 cigarette*).mp.	7
45	(non-combustible or noncombustible).mp.	13
46	reduced exposure product*.mp.	35
47	personal vapo?ri?er*.mp.	2
48	nicotine vapo?ri?er*.mp.	2
49	electronic smok*.mp.	1
50	(nicotine adj (inhaler\$1 or inhalator\$1)).mp.	40
51	or/4-50	390,735
52	3 and 51	4,822
53	exp cardiovascular disorders/	46,803
54	heart surgery/	1,291
55	exp cardiovascular system/	8,056
56	coronar*.mp.	10,017
57	angina.mp.	977
58	aneurysm*.mp.	1,261
59	arrhythmi*.mp.	2,419
60	(atrium or atrial).mp.	1,308
61	cardia*.mp.	14,385
62	cardio*.mp.	32,039
63	cerebrovascular.mp.	19,408
64	heart*.mp.	51,563
65	revasculari*.mp.	347
66	CVD.mp.	1,745
67	myocard*.mp.	5,037
68	arter*.mp.	16,488
69	vascular.mp.	14,603
70	renovascular*.mp.	42
71	(stroke or strokes).mp.	24,616
72	isch?em*.mp.	13,800
73	endocardi*.mp.	140
74	ventric*.mp.	8,014
75	pericard*.mp.	125
76	embol*.mp.	1,256
77	thromb*.mp.	3,394
78	tachycardi*.mp.	1,249
79	bradycardi*.mp.	840
80	sick sinus.mp.	10

81	apople*.mp.	77
82	((brain or cerebral) adj2 (accident* or injur*)).mp.	21,394
83	((brain* or cerebral or lacunar) adj2 infarct*).mp.	2,138
84	or/53-83	155,142
85	52 and 84	376
86	(control* or random*).tw. or exp treatment/	1,115,626
87	85 and 86	248

Supplemental table 3. Description of literature search on MEDLINE (through Ovid) for trials of pharmacotherapy or behavioral therapy for smoking cessation in cardiac patients.

Search	Search Description				
Number					
<u> </u>	exp Smoking Cessation/				
2	((cigarette* or tobacco or smoking or smoker*) and (cease\$1 or cessation or quit* or stop*)).mp.				
3	or/1-2				
4	Bupropion/	2.515			
5	bupropion.mp.	3,840			
6	zyban.mp.	118			
7	amfebutamone.mp.	28			
8	varenicline.mp.	1,214			
9	champix.mp.	38			
10	chantix.mp.	51			
11	(nicotine and (patch or patches or lozenge\$1 or inhaler\$1 or nasal	5,320			
	spray\$1 or transdermal system* or gum or polacrilex or				
	replacement)).mp.				
12	nicoderm.mp.	25			
13	nicorette.mp.	88			
14	habitrol.mp.	9			
15	exp Behavior Therapy/	55,320			
16	(behavio?r* adj5 (therap* or intervention*)).mp.				
17	cognitive therap*.mp.				
18	exp Counselling/				
19	counsel?ing.mp.	87,139			
20	pharmacotherap*.mp.				
21	(pharmaco* adj3 (therap* or intervention*)).mp.	28,835			
22	Physician's Role/	26,451			
23	Patient Education as Topic/	72,418			
24	(physician* adj3 advice).mp.	980			
25	(physician* adj role*).mp.	27,111			
26	patient education.mp.	82,508			
27	minimal* invasive* intervention*.mp.	309			
28	Combined Modality Therapy/	146,897			
29	combined modality therap*.mp.	147,611			
30	nrt.mp.	1,406			
31	exp Psychotherapy, Group/	23,302			
32	psychotherap*.mp.	70,831			
33	psychoeducation*.mp.				
34	(combination therap* or combination treatment*).mp.				
35	"Tobacco Use Cessation Products"/	920			
36	("Electronics"/ or "Electrical Equipment and Supplies"/ or "Nebulizers				
	and Vaporizers"/) and ("tobacco products"/ or "tobacco use cessation				
	products"/ or "tobacco use disorder"/ or "smoking cessation"/ or				

	smoking/ or nicotine/ or tobacco/)					
37	(electronic adj3 cig*).mp.					
38	(e cig* or ecig*).mp.					
39	nicotine delivery.mp.	395				
40	endd.mp.					
41	non-cigarette.mp.					
42	(vaper\$1 or vape or vaping).mp.					
43	(vapo?r adj3 cigarette*).mp.	60				
44	(non-combustible or noncombustible).mp.					
45	reduced exposure product*.mp.	66				
46	personal vapo?ri?er*.mp.	7				
47	nicotine vapo?ri?er*.mp.	2				
48	electronic smok*.mp.	6				
49	(nicotine adj (inhaler\$1 or inhalator\$1)).mp.	88				
50	or/4-49	555,528				
51	3 and 50	11,872				
52	exp Cardiovascular Diseases/	1,949,880				
53	exp cardiac surgical procedures/	172,398				
54	exp Cardiovascular System/					
55	coronar*.mp.					
56	angina.mp.					
57	aneurysm*.mp.					
58	arrhythmi*.mp.					
59	(atrium or atrial).mp.	149,945				
60	cardia*.mp.	608,701				
61	cardio*.mp.	698,600				
62	cerebrovascular.mp.	115,108				
63	heart*.mp.	1,035,652				
64	revasculari*.mp.	49,623				
65	CVD.mp.	20,186				
66	myocard*.mp.	476,311				
67	arter*.mp.	944,128				
68	vascular.mp.	611,636				
69	renovascular*.mp.	9,782				
70	(stroke or strokes).mp.	201,305				
71	isch?em*.mp.	325,591				
72	endocardi*.mp.	49,272				
73	ventric*.mp.	384,522				
74	pericard*.mp.	43,419				
75	embol*.mp.	133,180				
76	thromb*.mp.	408,654				
77	tachycardi*.mp.	64,720				
78	bradycardi*.mp.					

79	sick sinus.mp.	3,215
80	apople*.mp.	3,165
81	((brain or cerebral) adj2 (accident* or injur*)).mp.	71,100
82	((brain* or cerebral or lacunar) adj2 infarct*).mp.	39,198
83	or/52-82	3,711,700
84	51 and 83	1,819
85	randomized controlled trial.pt.	397,503
86	controlled clinical trial.pt.	89,689
87	random*.ti.	133,948
88	randomized.ab.	323,376
89	randomised.ab.	64,025
90	placebo.ti.ab.	163,465
91	drug therapy.fs.	1,782,383
92	randomly.ab.	232,581
93	trial.ab.	334,859
94	groups.ab.	1,462,116
95	or/85-94	3,583,719
96	exp animals/ not humans.sh.	4,061,598
97	95 not 96	3,081,406
98	84 and 97	834

Supplemental table 4. Description of literature search on PubMed for trials of pharmacotherapy or behavioral therapy for smoking cessation in cardiac patients.

Search Number	Search Description			
1	"smoking cessation"[MeSH Terms]	20,864		
2	((((cigarette*[tiab] OR tobacco[tiab] OR smoking[mesh] OR smoking[tiab] OR smoker*[tiab]) AND (cease*[tiab] OR cessation[tiab] OR quit*[tiab] OR stop*[tiab]))))			
3	(#1 OR #2)	36,353		
4	"bupropion"[MeSH Terms]	2,456		
5	bupropion[tiab]	3,245		
6	zyban[tiab]	116		
7	amfebutamone[tiab]	28		
8	"varenicline"[Supplementary Concept]	770		
9	varenicline[tiab]	1,041		
10	champix[tiab]	34		
11	chantix[tiab]	46		
12	(((nicotine[tiab] AND (patch[tiab] OR patches[tiab] OR lozenge[tiab] OR lozenges[tiab] OR inhaler[tiab] OR inhalers[tiab] OR nasal spray[tiab] OR nasal sprays[tiab] OR transdermal system*[tiab] OR gum[tiab] OR polacrilex[tiab] OR replacement[tiab]))))	4,741		
13	nicoderm[tiab]	25		
14	nicorette[tiab]	86		
15	habitrol[tiab]	9		
16	"behavior therapy"[MeSH Terms]	54,336		
17	(((behavior*[tw] OR behaviour*[tw]) AND (intervention*[tw] OR therap*[tw])))	248,646		
18	cognitive therap*[tiab]	2,152		
19	"counselling"[MeSH Terms]	33,988		
20	counselling[tiab]	46,513		
21	counselling[tiab]	19,084		
22	pharmacotherap*[tiab]	24,663		
23	((((pharmaco[tw] OR pharmacological[tw]) AND (therap*[tw] OR intervention*[tw]))))	76,445		
24	"Physician's Role"[mesh]	26,319		
25	"Patient education as topic"[mesh]	71,832		
26	(((physician*[tw] AND advice[tw])))	5,969		
27	patient education[tw]			
28	"combined modality therapy"[MeSH Terms]	211,748		
29	combined modality therap*[tiab]	1,787		
30	nrt[tiab]	1,373		
31	"Psychotherapy, Group"[Mesh]			
32	psychotherap*[tiab]			

33	psychoeducation*[tiab]				
34	combination therap*[tiab]				
35	"Tobacco use cessation products"[mesh]	1,020			
36	 (((("Electronics"[mesh:noexp] OR "Electrical Equipment and Supplies"[Mesh:noexp] OR "Nebulizers and Vaporizers"[Mesh:NoExp]) AND ("tobacco products"[mesh] OR "tobacco use cessation products"[mesh] OR "tobacco use disorder"[mesh] OR "smoking cessation"[mesh] OR "smoking"[mesh:noexp] OR "nicotine"[mesh] OR "tobacco"[mesh])))) 				
37	((electronic[tiab] AND cig*[tiab]))				
38	((e cig*[tiab] OR ecig*[tiab]))	635			
39	nicotine delivery[tiab]	360			
40	endd[tiab]	5			
41	non-cigarette[tiab]	76			
42	vaper*[tiab]	22			
43	vape[tiab]	28			
44	vaping[tiab]	42			
45	(((vapor[tiab] OR vapour[tiab]) AND cigarette*[tiab]))	264			
46	combination treat*[tiab]	9,293			
47	((non-combustible[tiab] OR noncombustible[tiab]))	53			
48	reduced exposure product*[tiab]	64			
49	((personal vaporizer*[tiab] OR personal vaporiser*[tiab] OR personal vapourizer*[tiab] OR personal vapouriser*[tiab]))				
50	((nicotine vaporizer*[tiab] OR nicotine vaporiser*[tiab] OR nicotine vapourizer*[tiab] OR nicotine vapouriser*[tiab]))				
51	electronic smok*[tiab]	5,836			
52	((nicotine[tiab] AND (inhaler*[tiab] OR inhalator*[tiab])))	227			
53	(physician*[tiab] AND role*[tiab])	27,612			
54	#4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 OR #22 OR #23 OR #24 OR #25 OR #26 OR #27 OR #28 OR #29 OR #30 OR #31 OR #32 OR #33 OR #34 OR #35 OR #36 OR #37 OR #38 OR #39 OR #40 OR #41 OR #42 OR #43 OR #44 OR #45 OR #46 OR #47 OR #48 OR #49 OR #50 OR #51 OR #52 OR #53	825,556			
55	(#3 AND #54)	14,366			
56	"Cardiovascular Diseases"[Mesh]	1,926,351			
57	"cardiac surgical procedures"[Mesh]	171,145			
58	"Cardiovascular System"[Mesh]	1,037,164			
59	coronary[tw]	412,959			
60	angina[tw]	62,061			
61	aneurysm*[tw]	124,462			
62	arrhythmi*[tw]	114,600			
63	atrium[tw]	27,192			
64	atrial[tw]	137,128			
65	cardia*[tw]	603,948			
66	((cardio[tw] OR cardiolog*[tw]))	58,278			
67	cerebrovascular[tw]	113,541			

68	heart[tw]	1,014,827
69	revasculari*[tw]	49,910
70	CVD[tw]	19,951
71	myocard*[tw]	474,373
72	((artery[tw] OR arteries[tw] OR arterial[tw] OR arterio*[tw]))	926,256
73	vascular[tw]	607,736
74	renovascular*[tw]	9,688
75	stroke[tw]	195,732
76	strokes[tw]	15,264
77	ischaem*[tw]	47,977
78	ischem*[tw]	294,923
79	endocardi*[tw]	49,292
80	ventric*[tw]	382,970
81	pericard*[tw]	43,485
82	embol*[tw]	133,266
83	(thrombo[tw] OR thrombotic[tw] OR thrombos*[tw] OR thromboe*[tw] OR thrombog*[tw] OR thrombol*[tw] OR thrombom*[tw])	250,621
84	tachycardi*[tw]	64,797
85	bradycardi*[tw]	23,776
86	"sick sinus"[tw]	3,217
87	apople*[tw]	3,057
88	(((brain[tiab] OR cerebral[tiab]) AND (accident*[tiab] OR injur*[tiab])))	82,423
89	((((brain*[tw] OR cerebral[tw] or lacunar[tw]) AND infarct*[tw])))	56,181
90	#56 OR #57 OR #58 OR #59 OR #60 OR #61 OR #62 OR #63 OR #64 OR #65 OR #66 OR #67 OR #68 OR #69 OR #70 OR #71 OR #72 OR #73 OR #74 OR #75 OR #76 OR #77 OR #78 OR #79 OR #80 OR #81 OR #82 OR #83 OR #84 OR #85 OR #86 OR #87 OR #88 OR #89	3,485,334
91	(#55 AND #90)	2,107
92	randomized controlled trial[pt] OR controlled clinical trial[pt] OR random*[tiab] OR placebo[tiab] OR drug therapy[sh] OR trial[tiab] OR groups[tiab]	3,733,798
93	(#91 AND #92)	948
94	(#93 NOT medline[sb])	60

Supplemental table 5. Description of literature search on Cochrane Tobacco Addiction Group for trials of pharmacotherapy or behavioral therapy for smoking cessation in cardiac patients.

Search	Search Description			
Number		of Results		
1	MeSH descriptor: [Smoking Cessation] explode all trees	302		
2	(cigarette* or tobacco or smoking or smoker*):ti,ab,kw and (cease* or cessation or quit* or stop*):ti,ab,kw			
3	#1 or #2	6,501		
4	MeSH descriptor: [Bupropion] explode all trees	532		
5	bupropion:ti,ab,kw			
6	zyban:ti,ab,kw	42		
7	amfebutamone:ti,ab,kw	284		
8	varenicline:ti,ab,kw	370		
9	champix:ti,ab,kw	4		
10	chantix:ti,ab,kw	11		
11	(nicotine and (patch or patches or lozenge or lozenges or inhaler or inhalers or "nasal spray" or "nasal sprays" or transdermal next system* or gum or polacrilex or replacement)):ti,ab,kw	1,863		
12	nicoderm:ti,ab,kw	18		
13	nicorette:ti,ab,kw	38		
14	habitrol:ti,ab,kw	4		
15	MeSH descriptor: [Behavior Therapy] explode all trees	10,909		
16	((behavio*r*) near/5 (therap* or intervention*)):ti,ab,kw	15,617		
17	cognitive next therap*:ti,ab,kw	7,691		
18	MeSH descriptor: [Counselling] explode all trees	3,455		
19	counsel*ing:ti,ab,kw	8,947		
20	pharmacotherap*:ti,ab,kw	5,194		
21	(pharmaco* near/3 (therap* or intervention*)):ti,ab,kw	12,096		
22	MeSH descriptor: [Physician's Role] explode all trees			
23	MeSH descriptor: [Patient Education as Topic] explode all trees	6,723		
24	(physician* near/3 advice):ti,ab,kw	133		
25	(physician* next role*):ti,ab,kw	6		
26	patient education:ti,ab,kw	8,422		
27	minimal* next invasive* next intervention*:ti,ab,kw	23		
28	MeSH descriptor: [Combined Modality Therapy] this term only	12,268		
29	combined next modality next therap*:ti,ab,kw	12,832		
30	nrt:ti,ab,kw	274		
31	MeSH descriptor: [Psychotherapy, Group] explode all trees	2,508		
32	psychotherap*:ti,ab,kw	7,542		
33	psychoeducation*:ti,ab,kw	1,144		
34	(combination next (therap* or treatment*)):ti,ab,kw	8,529		
35	MeSH descriptor: [Tobacco Use Cessation Products] explode all trees	201		
36	nicotine next replacement next therap*:ti,ab,kw	646		
37	MeSH descriptor: [Electronics] this term only	66		
38	MeSH descriptor: [Electrical Equipment and Supplies] this term only			

39	MeSH descriptor: [Nebulizers and Vaporizers] explode all trees				
40	MeSH descriptor: [Tobacco Products] explode all trees				
41	MeSH descriptor: [Tobacco Use Cessation Products] explode all trees				
42	MeSH descriptor: [Tobacco Use Disorder] explode all trees	770			
43	MeSH descriptor: [Smoking Cessation] explode all trees				
44	MeSH descriptor: [Smoking] explode all trees				
45	MeSH descriptor: [Nicotine] explode all trees				
46	MeSH descriptor: [Tobacco] explode all trees				
47	(#37 or #38 or #39) and (#40 or #41 or #42 or #43 or #44 or #45 or #46)	31			
48	electronic near/3 cig*:ti,ab,kw	34			
49	((e next cig*) or ecig*):ti,ab,kw	28			
50	nicotine delivery:ti,ab,kw	162			
51	endd:ti,ab,kw	1			
52	non-cigarette:ti,ab,kw	1			
53	(vaper* or vape or vaping):ti,ab,kw	2			
54	((vapor or vapour) near/3 cigarette*):ti,ab,kw	1			
55	((non next combustible) or (noncombustible)):ti,ab,kw	3			
56	reduced next exposure next product*:ti,ab,kw	21			
57	personal next vapo*ri?er*:ti,ab,kw	0			
58	nicotine next vapo*ri?er*:ti,ab,kw	1			
59	electronic next smok*:ti,ab,kw	2			
60	(nicotine next (inhaler* or inhalator*)):ti,ab,kw				
61	#4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21 or #22 or #23 or #24 or #25 or #26 or #27 or #28 or #29 or #30 or #31 or #32 or #33 or #34 or #35 or #36 or #47 or #48 or #54 or #55 or #56	75,228			
62	#3 and #61	3,333			
63	MeSH descriptor: [Cardiovascular Diseases] explode all trees	77,237			
64	MeSH descriptor: [Cardiac Surgical Procedures] explode all trees	11,928			
65	MeSH descriptor: [Cardiovascular System] explode all trees	17,289			
66	MeSH descriptor: [Cholesterol] explode all trees	8,484			
67	coronar*:ti,ab,kw	33,029			
68	angina:ti,ab,kw	8,921			
69	aneurysm*:ti,ab,kw	2,846			
70	arrhythmi*:ti,ab,kw	7,140			
71	atrium:ti,ab,kw	2,614			
72	atrial:ti,ab,kw	8,678			
73	cardia*:ti,ab,kw	32,898			
74	cardio*:ti,ab,kw	50,874			
75	cerebrovascular:ti,ab,kw	7,204			
76	heart:ti,ab,kw	73,371			
77	revasculari*:ti,ab,kw	5,801			
78	CVD:ti,ab,kw	1,670			
79	myocard*:ti,ab,kw	26,061			
80	arter*:ti,ab,kw	53,211			
81	*vascular*:ti,ab,kw	64,613			

82	stroke:ti,ab,kw	26,686
83	strokes:ti,ab,kw	1,251
84	isch*m*:ti,ab,kw	20,899
85	endocardi*:ti,ab,kw	579
86	ventric*:ti,ab,kw	18,061
87	pericard*:ti,ab,kw	718
88	embol*:ti,ab,kw	5,143
89	thromb*:ti,ab,kw	28,063
90	tachycardi*:ti,ab,kw	5,058
91	bradycardi*:ti,ab,kw	2,927
92	sick sinus:ti,ab,kw	201
93	apople*:ti,ab,kw	343
94	((brain* or cerebral) and (accident* or infarct*)):ti,ab,kw	3,599
95	((brain* or cerebral or lacunar) and infarct*):ti,ab,kw	3,706
96	#63 or #64 or #65 or #66 or #67 or #68 or #69 or #70 or #71 or #72 or #73 or #74 or #75 or #76 or #77 or #78 or #79 or #80 or #81 or #82 or #83 or #84 or #85 or #86 or #87 or #88 or #89 or #90 or #91 or #92 or #93 or #94 or #95	217,365
97	#62 and #96 with Tobacco Addiction Group in Review Groups	286

Supplemental table 6. Motivation to quit smoking for all studies included

Trial	Motivation	Additional Information
Rigotti 1994	Not specified	Excluded if not willing to quit
Miller	Not specified	Excluded if not willing to quit
Tonstad	Motivated	-
Joseph	Not specified	Included if made a minimum of 2 attempts to quit
Hajek	Motivated	-
Eisenberg	Motivated	-
Wiggers	Not specified	Excluded if not willing to quit
Smith 2009	Not specified	-
Ockene	Not specified	Excluded if not willing to quit
Froelicher	Not specified	Refused to participate because no desire to quit
Rigotti 2006	Motivated	Excluded if not willing to quit
Quist-Paulsen	Not specified	-
Mohiuddin	Motivated	Fagerstrom score >7
Feeney	Not specified	-
Smith	Not specified	Excluded if not willing to quit
Taylor	Not specified	-
Planer	Motivated	-
Hennrikus	Motivated	-
Reid	Not specified	-
Brunner	Not specified	-
Rigotti 2010	Motivated	-
Campbell	Motivated	Agreed to quit smoking
Cossette	Not specified	-
Park	Motivated	-

Abbreviations: "-" denotes not reported.

Supplemental table 7. Quality assessment of trials on smoking cessation therapies in patients with cardiovascular disease as defined by the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool.

Lead Author	Year	Sequence	Allocation	Blinding of Participants, Personnel,	Incomplete	Selective Outcome	Other Sources
		Generation	Concealment	and Outcome Assessors	Outcome Data	Reporting	of Bias
NRTs		1	1		1		
Campbell	1991	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	Unclear
Joseph	1996	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low
Bupropion	Bupropion						
Tonstad	2003	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	Low
Rigotti	2006	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Planer	2011	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	High	Low
Eisenberg	2013	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Varenicline							
Rigotti	2010	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	Low
In-hospital cou	nselling	Ş					
Rigotti	1994	Unclear	Unclear	High	Low	Low	Low
Hajek	2002	Unclear	Unclear	High	Low	Low	High
Wiggers	2006	Unclear	Unclear	High	Low	Unclear	Low
Telephone cour	nselling						
Taylor	1990	Low	Low	High	Low	High	High
Miller	1997	Unclear	Low	High	Low	High	High
Feeney	2001	Low	Low	High	High	Low	High
Froelicher	2004	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	High
Reid	2007	Low	Unclear	High	Low	High	Low
Smith	2009	Low	Low	High	Low	High	Low
Cossette	2012	Unclear	Low	High	High	Low	High
Park	2015	Low	Unclear	High	Low	Unclear	Unclear
Smith	2011	Low	Unclear	High	Low	Low	Low
Individualized	counsel	ling					
Ockene	1992	Unclear	Unclear	High	Low	Low	Low
Quist-Paulsen	2003	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	Low
Mohiuddin	2007	Unclear	Unclear	High	Low	Low	Low
Hennrikus	2010	Low	Unclear	High	Low	Low	Low
Brunner	2012	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	Low

Supplemental table 8. Effectiveness of different treatments in terms of abstinence rate after one year.

Treatment	RR^*	Expected Abstinence Rate [†] (%)
Bupropion	1.33	25.7
NRT	1.19	23.0
Varenicline	2.36	45.5
In hospital	1.03	19.9
Telephone	1.31	25.3
Individual	1.42	27.4

* From network meta-analysis.
* Assuming an abstinence rate of 19.3% in the placebo/usual care group (the mean abstinence rate among patients randomized to placebo/usual care across all trials).

Supplemental Table 9. Network meta-analysis treatment rankings.

Treatment	P-Score
Varenicline	0.9557
Individual Counselling	0.7174
Telephone Counselling	0.6033
Bupropion	0.5565
NRT	0.3765
In-Hospital Counselling	0.1867
Placebo/Usual Care	0.1040

*The p-score indicates the probability that the treatment ranks the highest in terms of efficacy

Treatment	Placebo/Usual Care	Bupropion	NRT	Varenicline	In-Hospital Counselling	Telephone Counselling	Individual Counselling
Placebo/Usual Care	1.00	0.70 (0.51, 0.96)	0.82 (0.50, 1.33)	0.38 (0.20, 0.70)	0.96 (0.73, 1.27)	0.73 (0.58, 0.93)	0.72 (0.47, 1.10)
Bupropion	1.42 (1.04, 1.95)	1.00	1.16 (0.65, 2.08)	0.54 (0.27, 1.08)	1.37 (0.90, 2.09)	1.05 (0.70, 1.55)	1.02 (0.60, 1.74)
NRT	1.22 (0.75, 1.99)	0.86 (0.48, 1.53)	1.00	0.46 (0.21, 1.02)	1.18 (0.67, 2.06)	0.90 (0.52, 1.55)	0.88 (0.46, 1.68)
Varenicline	2.64 (1.42, 4.92)	1.86 (0.93, 3.73)	2.16 (0.98, 4.76)	1.00	2.55 (1.29, 5.03)	1.94 (1.00, 3.77)	1.90 (0.89, 4.04)
In-Hospital Counselling	1.04 (0.79, 1.37)	0.73 (0.48, 1.11)	0.85 (0.49, 1.48)	0.39 (0.20, 0.77)	1.00	0.76 (0.54, 1.07)	0.75 (0.45, 1.24)
Telephone Counselling	1.36 (1.07, 1.73)	0.96 (0.64, 1.42)	1.11 (0.65, 1.91)	0.52 (0.26, 1.00)	1.31 (0.93, 1.84)	1.00	0.98 (0.60, 1.60)
Individual Counselling	1.39 (0.91, 2.14)	0.98 (0.57, 1.66)	1.14 (0.59, 2.17)	0.53 (0.25, 1.12)	1.34 (0.81, 2.23)	1.02 (0.63, 1.67)	1.00

Supplemental Table 10. Network meta-analysis of pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies for smoking cessation in patients with cardiovascular disease excluding behavioral RCTs in which pharmacotherapy was available differentially across groups^{*}.

Abbreviations: NRT: nicotine replacement therapy

Supplemental Table 11. Network meta-analysis of pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies for smoking cessation in patients with cardiovascular disease restricted to the trials that biochemically validated abstinence ^{*}.

Treatment	Placebo/Usual Care	Bupropion	NRT	Varenicline	In-Hospital Counselling	Telephone Counselling	Individual Counselling
Placebo/Usual Care	1.00	0.61 (0.40, 0.93)	0.82 (0.47, 1.42)	0.38 (0.18, 0.78)	0.94 (0.68, 1.31)	0.64 (0.47, 0.88)	0.61 (0.43, 0.86)
Bupropion	1.63 (1.07, 2.47)	1.00	1.33 (0.67, 2.66)	0.62 (0.27, 1.41)	1.53 (0.90, 2.60)	1.04 (0.62, 1.76)	0.99 (0.57, 1.70)
NRT	1.22 (0.70, 2.12)	0.75 (0.38, 1.50)	1.00	0.46 (0.17, 1.14)	1.15 (0.60, 2.18)	0.78 (0.41, 1.48)	0.74 (0.38, 1.42)
Varenicline	2.64 (1.29, 5.42)	1.63 (0.71, 3.73)	2.17 (0.88, 5.35)	1.00	2.48 (1.13, 5.47)	1.69 (0.77, 3.71)	1.60 (0.72, 3.57)
In-Hospital Counselling	1.06 (0.77, 1.48)	0.65 (0.38, 1.11)	0.87 (0.46, 1.66)	0.40 (0.18, 0.89)	1.00	0.68 (0.45, 1.04)	0.65 (0.40, 1.04)
Telephone Counselling	1.56 (1.14, 2.15)	0.96 (0.57, 1.63)	1.28 (0.68, 2.42)	0.59 (0.27, 1.30)	1.47 (0.96, 2.24)	1.00	0.95 (0.59, 1.52)
Individual Counselling	1.65 (1.16, 2.35)	1.01 (0.59, 1.75)	1.35 (0.70, 2.60)	0.62 (0.28, 1.39)	1.55 (0.96, 2.51)	1.06 (0.66, 1.70)	1.00

Abbreviations: NRT: nicotine replacement therapy

Supplemental Table 12. Network meta-analysis of pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies for smoking cessation in patients with cardiovascular disease restricted to the trials that did not biochemically validate abstinence ^{*}.

Treatment	Placebo/Usual Care	Bupropion	NRT	Varenicline	In-Hospital Counselling	Telephone Counselling	Individual Counselling
Placebo/Usual Care	1.00	1.07 (0.67, 1.71)	-	-	-	0.69 (0.55, 0.88)	-
Bupropion	0.93 (0.58, 1.49)	1.00	-	-	-	0.65 (0.38, 1.09)	-
NRT	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-
Varenicline	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-
In-Hospital Counselling	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
Telephone Counselling	1.44 (1.14, 1.82)	1.55 (0.92, 2.61)	-	-	-	1.00	-
Individual Counselling	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00

Abbreviations: NRT: nicotine replacement therapy. "-" denotes no trials that did not biochemically validated abstinence examined this intervention.

Treatment	Placebo/Usual Care	Bupropion	NRT	Varenicline	In-Hospital Counselling	Telephone Counselling	Individual Counselling
Placebo/Usual Care	1.00	0.86 (0.54, 1.37)	-	-	1.06 (0.63, 1.80)	0.54 (0.36, 0.82)	0.59 (0.36, 0.95)
Bupropion	1.17 (0.73, 1.87)	1.00	-	-	1.24 (0.61, 2.52)	0.63 (0.34, 1.18)	0.69 (0.35, 1.35)
NRT	-	-	1.00			-	-
Varenicline	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-
In-Hospital Counselling	0.94 (0.56, 1.59)	0.80 (0.40, 1.63)	-	-	1.00	0.51 (0.26, 0.99)	0.55 (0.27, 1.13)
Telephone Counselling	1.84 (1.22, 2.78)	1.58 (0.85, 2.94)	-	-	1.96 (1.01, 3.83)	1.00	1.09 (0.58, 2.04)
Individual Counselling	1.70 (1.05, 2.75)	1.45 (0.74, 2.85)	-	-	1.81 (0.88, 3.69)	0.92 (0.49, 1.73)	1.00

Supplemental Table 13. Network meta-analysis of pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies for smoking cessation in patients with cardiovascular disease restricted to the trials that assessed patients with acute CVD^{*}.

Abbreviations: NRT: nicotine replacement therapy. "-" denotes no trials that examined this intervention among patients with acute CVD.

Supplemental Table 14. Network meta-analysis of pharmacotherapies and behavioral therapies for smoking cessation in patients with cardiovascular disease restricted to the trials that assessed patients with stable CVD^{*}.

Treatment	Placebo/Usual Care	Bupropion	NRT	Varenicline	In-Hospital Counselling	Telephone Counselling	Individual Counselling
Placebo/Usual Care	1.00	0.41 (0.27, 0.61)	0.81 (0.57, 1.16)	0.38 (0.25, 0.58)	0.90 (0.70, 1.16)	0.80 (0.67, 0.95)	0.71 (0.50, 1.01)
Bupropion	2.46 (1.64, 3.71)	1.00	2.00 (1.16, 3.45)	0.93 (0.52, 1.69)	2.21 (1.36, 3.58)	1.97 (1.26, 3.08)	1.75 (1.02, 3.00)
NRT	1.23 (0.86, 1.76)	0.50 (0.29, 0.86)	1.00	0.47 (0.27, 0.81)	1.10 (0.71, 1.71)	0.99 (0.66, 1.46)	0.87 (0.53, 1.44)
Varenicline	2.64 (1.72, 4.06)	1.07 (0.59, 1.94)	2.15 (1.23, 3.75)	1.00	2.37 (1.44, 3.90)	2.12 (1.34, 3.35)	1.88 (1.08, 3.26)
In-Hospital Counselling	1.12 (0.87, 1.44)	0.45 (0.28, 0.73)	0.91 (0.59, 1.40)	0.42 (0.26, 0.69)	1.00	0.89 (0.68, 1.17)	0.79 (0.52, 1.22)
Telephone Counselling	1.25 (1.05, 1.48)	0.51 (0.33, 0.79)	1.02 (0.68, 1.51)	0.47 (0.30, 0.75)	1.12 (0.85, 1.47)	1.00	0.89 (0.60, 1.31)
Individual Counselling	1.41 (0.99, 1.99)	0.57 (0.33, 0.98)	1.14 (0.70, 1.88)	0.53 (0.31, 0.92)	1.26 (0.82, 1.94)	1.13 (0.76, 1.66)	1.00

Abbreviations: NRT: nicotine replacement therapy.

Supplemental Figure 1. Forest plot presenting the results comparing the efficacy of bupropion for smoking cessation to that of placebo, stratified by CVD subtype.

	Bupro	noiq	Place	ebo		Ris	k Rat	io				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total						RR	95%-Cl	W(random)
Acute CVD												
Eisenberg 2013	49	183	43	194				-		1.21	[0.85; 1.73]	27.9%
Rigotti 2006	25	124	17	122			+ •	-		1.45	[0.82; 2.54]	21.4%
Planer 2011	23	74	25	75		_				0.93	[0.58; 1.49]	24.4%
Random effects model		381		391			\diamond			1.16	[0.90; 1.50]	73.8%
Heterogeneity: I-squared=0	%											
Stable CVD												
Tonstad 2003	69	313	28	313						2.46	[1.63; 3.71]	26.2%
Random effects model		313		313				\diamond	(2.46	[1.63; 3.71]	26.2%
Heterogeneity: not applicable	e for a si	ngle st	udy									
Random effects model Heterogeneity: I-squared=7	2.4%	694		704				>		1.42	[0.93; 2.16]	100%
				Γ								
				0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10		

Supplemental Figure 2. Forest plot presenting the results comparing the efficacy of in-hospital counselling for smoking cessation to that of usual care stratified by CVD subtype.

In-hospita	l Counse	elling	Usual	Care		Ris	k Ra	tio				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total			ĩ			RR	95%-CI	W(random)
Acute CVD												
Hajek 2002	94	254	102	251		÷				0.91	[0.73; 1.13]	50.7%
Rigotti 1994	22	44	22	43		: <u> </u>	<u> </u>			0.98	[0.65; 1.48]	14.1%
Random effects model		298		294			\diamond			0.92	[0.76; 1.12]	64.8%
Heterogeneity: I-squared=0	0%											
Stable CVD												
Miller 1997	38	138	74	310				-		1.15	[0.82; 1.61]	21.6%
Wiggers 2006	38	188	32	188				10		1.19	[0.78; 1.82]	13.5%
Random effects model	19/	326		498				•1		1.17	[0.90; 1.52]	35.2%
nelelogeneny. I-squaleu=	//0											
Random effects model		<mark>624</mark>		792			\diamond			1.00	[0.86; 1.17]	100%
Heterogeneity: I-squared=0	0%			r								
				5	I	1	I	l.		Į		
				0.	1 0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10		

Supplemental Figure 3. Forest plot presenting the results comparing the efficacy of telephone counselling for smoking cessation to that of usual care stratified by CVD subtype.

	Couns	elling	Usual	Care	Risk Ratio			
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total		RR	95%-CI	W(random)
Acute CVD								
Smith 2009	73	135	48	137		1.54	[1.17; 2.03]	17.0%
Feeney 2001	31	92	1	97	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	32.68	[4.55; 234.56]	1.7%
Taylor 1990	47	84	20	82		2.29	[1.50; 3.51]	13.7%
Reid 2007	23	50	17	49	<u>+</u>	1.33	[0.81; 2.16]	12.4%
Cossette 2010	5	20	6	20		0.83	[0.30; 2.29]	5.3%
Park 2015	14	30	0	32		- 30.90	[1.93; 495.89]	0.9%
Random effects model		411		417	\diamond	1.96	[1.17; 3.29]	51.0%
Heterogeneity: I-squared=72	.4%							
Stable CVD								
Miller 1997	62	182	74	310		1.43	[1.07; 1.89]	16.9%
Sivarajan Froelicher 2004	58	121	52	125		1.15	[0.87; 1.52]	17.0%
Smith 2011	39	92	33	88		1.13	[0.79; 1.62]	15.1%
Random effects model Heterogeneity: I-squared=0%	/ 0	395		523	•	1.24	[1.04; 1.48]	49.0%
Random effects model Heterogeneity: I-squared=67	.4%	806		940		1.50	[1.15; 1.97]	100%
					0.01 0.1 1 10 100			

Supplemental Figure 4. Forest plot presenting the results comparing the efficacy of individual counselling for smoking cessation to that of usual care stratified by CVD subtype.

Individual Co	unse	elling	Usual	Care	Ris	k Ratio			
Study Eve	nts	Total	Events	Total			RR	95%-CI	W(random)
Acute CVD									
Quist-Paulsen 2003	57	114	44	119			1.35	[1.00; 1.82]	27.8%
Mohiuddin 2007	43	109	11	100			3.59	[1.96; 6.56]	18.5%
Brunner Frandsen 2012	16	49	13	45			1.13	[0.61; 2.08]	18.4%
Random effects model		272		264			1.72	[0.93; 3.19]	64.7%
Heterogeneity: I-squared=78.4%									
Stable CVD									
Ockene 1992	47	133	34	123		+ • ÷	1.28	[0.89; 1.85]	25.7%
Hennrikus 2010	13	61	4	59			3.14	[1.09; 9.09]	9.6%
Random effects model		194		182			1.74	[0.75; 4.00]	35.3%
Heterogeneity: I-squared=59.4%									
Random effects model Heterogeneity: I-squared=66.3%		466		446 ┌─			1.68	[1.13; 2.48]	100%
				0.1	0.2 0.5	1 2	5 10		

Supplemental Figure 5. Network meta-analysis SUCRA treatment rankograms.



Supplemental Figure 6. Network meta-analysis diagram by number of studies.



Supplemental Figure 7. Network meta-analysis diagram by number of studies using random standard error.



Supplemental Figure 8. Inconsistency plot of the posterior mean deviance of the individual data points in the inconsistency model against their posterior mean deviance in the consistency model using fixed effects models.



Supplemental Figure 9. Inconsistency plot of the posterior mean deviance of the individual data points in the inconsistency model against their posterior mean deviance in the consistency model using random effects models.



Supplemental Figure 10. Forest plot presenting the network analysis comparing the efficacy of pharmacotherapies for smoking cessation to that of placebo/usual care in patients with cardiovascular disease.



Supplemental Figure 11. Forest plot presenting the network analysis comparing the efficacy of behavioral therapies for smoking cessation to that of placebo/usual care in patients with cardiovascular disease.



Supplemental Figure 12. Forest plot presenting the sensitivity analysis comparing the efficacy of behavioral therapies for smoking cessation to that of usual care in patients with cardiovascular disease stratified by biochemical validation of abstinence.

	Interve	ntion	Usual C	are	R	isk Ra	tio			
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total		ь.		RR	95%-CI	W(random)
Biochamical Validation										
Millor 1007	60	100	74	210		1		1 4 2	[1 07: 1 90]	7 00/
Miller 1997	20	102	74	210		1.1		1.43	[1.07, 1.09]	7.0%
Willer 1997	30	130	102	2510		1.1		1.15	[0.02, 1.01]	7.2%
Hajek 2002	94	204	102	201				0.91	[0.73; 1.13]	0.0%
Wiggers 2006	30	100	32	100		12		1.19	[0.76; 1.62]	0.3%
Ockene 1992	47	133	34	123		1.4		1.28	[0.89; 1.85]	6.9%
Sivarajan Froelicher 2004	58	121	52	125		1.1		1.15	[0.87; 1.52]	7.9%
Quist-Paulsen 2003	57	114	44	119		- E -		1.35	[1.00; 1.82]	1.1%
Mohiuddin 2007	43	109	11	100		11		3.59	[1.96; 6.56]	4.6%
Feeney 2001	31	92	1	97		<u> </u>		32.68	[4.55; 234.56]	0.7%
Smith 2011	39	92	33	88		1.5		1.13	[0.79; 1.62]	7.0%
Taylor 1990	47	84	20	82			t	2.29	[1.50; 3.51]	6.2%
Hennrikus 2010	13	61	4	59		+		3.14	[1.09; 9.09]	2.1%
Brunner Frandsen 2012	16	49	13	45		- <u>-</u>		1.13	[0.61; 2.08]	4.5%
Rigotti 1994	22	44	22	43		- 1		0.98	[0.65; 1.48]	6.3%
Park 2015	14	30	0	32		-	· · ·	— 30.90	[1.93; 495.89]	0.4%
Random effects model		1691		1972		¢		1.41	[1.15; 1.73]	84.2%
Heterogeneity: I-squared=71.8%										
No Biochemical Validation										
Smith 2009	73	135	48	137		-+-		1.54	[1.17; 2.03]	7.9%
Reid 2007	23	50	17	49		-		1.33	[0.81; 2.16]	5.6%
Cossette 2010	5	20	6	20				0.83	[0.30; 2.29]	2.3%
Random effects model Heterogeneity: I-squared=0%		205		206		\$		1.44	[1.14; 1.82]	15.8%
										1000/
Random effects model		1896		2178				1.38	[1.16; 1.65]	100%
Heterogeneity: I-squared=67.5%										
					0.01 0.1	1	10 100			

Supplemental Figure 13. Forest plot presenting the sensitivity analysis comparing the efficacy of bupropion for smoking cessation to that of placebo in patients with cardiovascular disease stratified by biochemical validation of abstinence.

	Bupropion		Placebo		Risk Ratio			
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	1	RR	95%-CI	W(random)
Biochemical Validation								
Tonstad 2003	69	313	28	313	· · · ·	2.46	[1.63; 3.71]	26.2%
Eisenberg 2013	49	183	43	194		1.21	[0.85; 1.73]	27.9%
Rigotti 2006	25	124	17	122		1.45	[0.82; 2.54]	21.4%
Random effects model		620		629		1.63	[1.02; 2.59]	75.6%
Heterogeneity: I-squared=70.5%	6							
No Biochemical Validation								
Planer 2011	23	74	25	75		0.93	[0.58; 1.49]	24.4%
Random effects model		74		75		0.93	[0.58; 1.49]	24.4%
Heterogeneity: not applicable fo	r a single	study					7 6 72	
Random effects model Heterogeneity: I-squared=72.4%	6	694		704		1.42	[0.93; 2.16]	100%
				Г		1		
				0.	5 1 2	4		

Supplemental references. Reference list of articles excluded based on full text review.

- 1. Don't forget nicotine replacement therapy in smokers with cardiovascular disease. *Drugs and Therapy Perspectives*. 2000;16:4-6.
- 2. Drug and Non-Drug Treatment Strategies to Assist Smoking Cessation. [French]. *Therapie*. 2003;58:479-497.
- 3. Varenicline (Chantix) for tobacco dependence. *Medical Letter on Drugs and Therapeutics*. 2006;48:66-68.
- 4. Varenicline (Chantix) for tobacco dependence [2]. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2007;109:192-193.
- 5. Varenicline and cardiovascular events? *Drug and Therapeutics Bulletin*. 2011;49:100.
- 6. Electronic cigarettes. *Medical Letter on Drugs and Therapeutics*. 2013;55:89-90.
- 7. Adams C. Interventions to aid smoking cessation post-myocardial infarction. *British Journal of Cardiology*. 2003;10:169+171.
- 8. Agewall S, Wikstrand J, Samuelsson O, Persson B, Andersson OK and Fagerberg B. The efficacy of multiple risk factor intervention in treated hypertensive men during long-term follow up. Risk Factor Intervention Study Group. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 1994;236:651-9.
- 9. Ahmadi J, Ashkani H, Ahmadi M and Ahmadi N. Twenty-four week maintenance treatment of cigarette smoking with nicotine gum, clonidine and naltrexone. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2003;24:251-255.
- 10. Allen JK. Coronary risk factor modification in women after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Nursing Research*. 1996;45:260-5.
- 11. Alper BS. Varenicline: Quantifying the risk. *Cmaj.* 2011;183:1405.
- Anthenelli RM, Morris C, Ramey TS, Dubrava SJ, Tsilkos K, Russ C and Yunis C. Effects of varenicline on smoking cessation in adults with stably treated current or past major depression: a randomized trial.[Summary for patients in Ann Intern Med. 2013 Sep 17;159(6):I-36; PMID: 24042380]. Ann Intern Med. 2013;159:390-400.
- 13. Aung MN, Yuasa M, Lorga T, Moolphate S, Fukuda H, Kitajima T, Yokokawa H, Minematsu K, Tanimura S, Hiratsuka Y, Ono K, Naunboonruang P, Thinuan P, Kawai S, Suya Y, Chumvicharana S and Marui E. Evidence-based new service package vs. routine service package for smoking cessation to prevent high risk patients from cardiovascular

diseases (CVD): study protocol for randomized controlled trial. *Trials* [Electronic Resource]. 2013;14:419.

- 14. Basler H-D, Brinkmeier U, Buser K and Gluth G. Nicotine gum assisted group therapy in smokers with an increased risk of coronary disease: Evaluation in a primary care setting format. *Health Education Research*. 1992;7:87-95.
- 15. Benowitz NL and Prochaska JJ. Smoking cessation after acute myocardial infarction. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2013;61:533-5.
- 16. Bentz CJ. An intensive smoking cessation intervention reduced hospital admissions and mortality in high risk smokers with CVD. *Evidence-Based Medicine*. 2007;12:113.
- 17. Berlin I, Grange G, Jacob N and Tanguy ML. Nicotine patches in pregnant smokers: Randomised, placebo controlled, multicentre trial of efficacy. *BMJ (Online)*. 2014;348.
- 18. Berndt N, Bolman C, Froelicher ES, Mudde A, Candel M and Vries H. Effectiveness of a telephone delivered and a face-to-face delivered counseling intervention for smoking cessation in patients with coronary heart disease: a 6-month follow-up. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 2014;37:709-24.
- 19. Berndt N, Bolman C, Lechner L, Mudde A, Verheugt FW and de Vries H. Effectiveness of two intensive treatment methods for smoking cessation and relapse prevention in patients with coronary heart disease: study protocol and baseline description. *BMC Cardiovascular Disorders*. 2012;12:33.
- 20. Bitton A. Intensive smoking cessation programs for hospitalized coronary patients: A proven intervention in need of implementation. *Journal of Clinical Outcomes Management*. 2009;16:398-399.
- 21. Blenkinsopp A, Anderson C, Armstrong M, Riley J and Tsuyuki RT. Community pharmacybased interventions can reduce risk behaviour and risk factors for coronary heart disease. *Evidence-Based Healthcare*. 2004;8:21-23.
- 22. Blondal T, Franzon M and Westint A. A double-blind randomized trial of nicotine nasal spray as an aid in smoking cessation. *European Respiratory Journal*. 1997;10:1585-1590.
- 23. Bock BC, Becker BM, Niaura RS, Partridge R, Fava JL and Trask P. Smoking cessation among patients in an emergency chest pain observation unit: outcomes of the Chest Pain Smoking Study (CPSS). *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2008;10:1523-31.
- 24. Bolliger CT, Zellweger JP, Danielsson T, Van Biljon X, Robidou A, Westin A, Perruchoud AP and Sawe U. Smoking reduction with oral nicotine inhalers: Double blind, randomised clinical trial of efficacy and safety. *British Medical Journal*. 2000;321:329-333.

- 25. Bolman C, de Vries H and van Breukelen G. A minimal-contact intervention for cardiac inpatients: long-term effects on smoking cessation. *Preventive Medicine*. 2002;35:181-92.
- 26. Bovet P, Perret F, Cornuz J, Quilindo J and Paccaud F. Improved smoking cessation in smokers given ultrasound photographs of their own atherosclerotic plaques. *Preventive Medicine*. 2002;34:215-20.
- 27. Brown DW. Nurse-led intervention increases smoking cessation among people with coronary heart disease. *Evidence-Based Healthcare*. 2004;8:128-130.
- 28. Brown RA, Niaura R, Lloyd-Richardson EE, Strong DR, Kahler CW, Abrantes AM, Abrams D and Miller IW. Bupropion and cognitive-behavioral treatment for depression in smoking cessation. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2007;9:721-730.
- 29. Campbell IA, Prescott RJ and Tjeder-Burton SM. Transdermal nicotine plus support in patients attending hospital with smoking-related diseases: a placebo-controlled study. *Respiratory medicine*. 1996;90:47-51.
- 30. Campbell NC, Ritchie LD, Thain J, Deans HG, Rawles JM and Squair JL. Secondary prevention in coronary heart disease: a randomised trial of nurse led clinics in primary care. *Heart*. 1998;80:447-52.
- 31. Carlsson R, Lindberg G, Westin L and Israelsson B. Influence of coronary nursing management follow up on lifestyle after acute myocardial infarction. *Heart*. 1997;77:256-9.
- 32. Chan SS, Leung DY, Wong DC, Lau CP, Wong VT and Lam TH. A randomized controlled trial of stage-matched intervention for smoking cessation in cardiac out-patients. *Addiction*. 2012;107:829-37.
- 33. Chouinard MC and Robichaud-Ekstrand S. The effectiveness of a nursing inpatient smoking cessation program in individuals with cardiovascular disease. *Nursing Research*. 2005;54:243-54.
- 34. Chow CK, Redfern J, Thiagalingam A, Jan S, Whittaker R, Hackett M, Graves N, Mooney J and Hillis GS. Design and rationale of the tobacco, exercise and diet messages (TEXT ME) trial of a text message-based intervention for ongoing prevention of cardiovascular disease in people with coronary disease: a randomised controlled trial protocol. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2:e000606.
- 35. Cohen A, Assyag P, Boyer-Chatenet L, Cohen-Solal A, Perdrix C, Dalichampt M, Michel PL, Montalescot G, Ravaud P, Steg PG, Boutron I and Reseau Insuffisance Cardiaque PI. An education program for risk factor management after an acute coronary syndrome: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 2014;174:40-8.

- 36. Coleman T, Cooper S, Thornton JG, Grainge MJ, Watts K, Britton J and Lewis S. A randomized trial of nicotine-replacement therapy patches in pregnancy. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2012;366:808-818.
- 37. Cornuz J, Zwahlen S, Jungi WF, Osterwalder J, Klingler K, van Melle G, Bangala Y, Guessous I, Muller P, Willers J, Maurer P, Bachmann MF and Cerny T. A vaccine against nicotine for smoking cessation: A randomized controlled trial. *PLoS ONE*. 2008;3.
- 38. Cossette S, Frasure-Smith N, Robert M, Chouinard MC, Juneau M, Guertin MC, Cournoyer A and Mailhot T. [A pre assessment for nursing intervention to support tobacco cessation in patients hospitalized for cardiac problems: a pilot study (So-Live)]. *Recherche en Soins Infirmiers*. 2011:60-75.
- 39. Cui Q, Robinson L, Elston D, Smaill F, Cohen J, Quan C, McFarland N, Thabane L, McIvor A, Zeidler J and Smieja M. Safety and tolerability of varenicline tartrate (Champix /Chantix) for smoking cessation in HIV-infected subjects: A pilot open-label study. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2012;26:12-19.
- 40. Dalsgaro OJ, Gerner Hansen NC, Sooes-Petersen U, Evald T, Hooegholm A, Barber J and Vestbo J. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled, 6-month trial of bupropion hydrochloride sustained-release tablets as an aid to smoking cessation in hospital employees. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2004;6:55-61.
- 41. Dantas RAS, Aguillar OM and Barbeira CB. Implementation of a nurse-monitored protocol in a Brazilian hospital: A pilot study with cardiac surgery patients. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2002;46:261-266.
- 42. Dautzenberg B, Nides M, Kienzler JL and Callens A. Pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy from randomized controlled trials of 1 and 2 mg nicotine bitartrate lozenges (Nicotinell). *BMC Clinical Pharmacology*. 2007;7.
- 43. DeBusk RF, Miller NH, Superko HR, Dennis CA, Thomas RJ, Lew HT, Berger WE, 3rd, Heller RS, Rompf J, Gee D, Kraemer HC, Bandura A, Ghandour G, Clark M, Shah RV, Fisher L and Taylor CB. A case-management system for coronary risk factor modification after acute myocardial infarction. *Ann Intern Med.* 1994;120:721-9.
- 44. Dornelas EA, Sampson RA, Gray JF, Waters D and Thompson PD. A randomized controlled trial of smoking cessation counseling after myocardial infarction. *Preventive Medicine*. 2000;30:261-8.
- 45. Dornelas EA and Thompson PD. Smoking cessation for cardiac patients. *Preventive Cardiology*. 2007;10:31-3.

- 46. Earl-Slater A and Walley T. Smoking cessation and bupropion. *British Journal of Clinical Governance*. 2001;6:69-74.
- 47. Eichler K. Smoking cessation after cardiovascular event: Bupropion without long-term result. [German]. *Schweizerische Rundschau fur Medizin Praxis*. 2007;96:2063-2064.
- 48. Eisenberg MJ, Grandi SM, Gervais A, Joseph L, O'Loughlin J and Paradis G. Bupropion for smoking cessation in patients hospitalized with acute myocardial infarction: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Canadian journal of cardiology*. 2011;27:S344.
- 49. Eissenberg T. Electronic nicotine delivery devices: ineffective nicotine delivery and craving suppression after acute administration. *Tobacco Control*. 2010;19:87-8.
- 50. Etter JF and Perneger TV. Effectiveness of a computer-tailored smoking cessation program: a randomized trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2001;161:2596-601.
- 51. Fagerstrom K, Nakamura M, Cho HJ, Tsai ST, Wang C, Davies S, Ma W, Lee TC and Russ C. Varenicline treatment for smoking cessation in Asian populations: A pooled analysis of placebo-controlled trials conducted in six Asian countries. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*. 2010;26:2165-2173.
- 52. Farquhar D. Encouraging smoking cessation means fewer postoperative complications. *Cmaj.* 2002;166:1569.
- 53. Fellows JL, Mularski R, Waiwaiole L, Funkhouser K, Mitchell J, Arnold K and Luke S. Health and economic effects from linking bedside and outpatient tobacco cessation services for hospitalized smokers in two large hospitals: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials [Electronic Resource]*. 2012;13:129.
- 54. Fletcher GF, Bufalino V, Costa F, Goldstein LB, Jones D, Smaha L, Smith Jr SC and Stone N. Efficacy of Drug Therapy in the Secondary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease and Stroke. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 2007;99:S1-S35.
- 55. Fortmann SP. Nicotine replacement therapy for patients with coronary artery disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1994;154:989-995.
- 56. Fossati R, Apolone G, Negri E, Compagnoni A, La Vecchia C, Mangano S, Clivio L and Garattini S. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial of bupropion for smoking cessation in primary care. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2007;167:1791-1797.
- 57. Foxx RM and Brown RA. Nicotine fading and self-monitoring for cigarette abstinence or controlled smoking. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*. 1979;12:111-25.
- 58. Gadomski AM, Gavett J, Krupa N, Tallman N and Jenkins P. Effectiveness of an inpatient smoking cessation program. *Journal of Hospital Medicine (Online)*. 2011;6:E1-8.

- 59. Galvin K, Webb C and Hillier V. Assessing the impact of a nurse-led health education intervention for people with peripheral vascular disease who smoke: the use of physiological markers, nicotine dependence and withdrawal. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2001;38:91-105.
- Garvey AJ, Kinnunen T, Nordstrom BL, Utman CH, Doherty K, Rosner B and Vokonas PS. Effects of nicotine gum dose by level of nicotine dependence. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2000;2:53-63.
- 61. Giallauria F, Paragliola T, Pilerci F, Del Forno D, De Lorenzo A, Manakos A, Lucci R, Psaroudaki M, D'Agostino M and Vigorito C. Role of smokers in the household and of cardiac rehabilitation in smoking behaviour after acute myocardial infarction. *Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease*. 2005;64:110-5.
- 62. Gocan SJ, Laplante MC, Papadakis S, Reid RD, Pipe AL and Cousineau D. QUIT a pilot trial of standardized counselling and cost free pharmacotherapy for smoking cessation in secondary stroke prevention. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation*. 2010;41:e479.
- 63. Griffin SJ, Simmons RK, Prevost AT, Williams KM, Hardeman W, Sutton S, Brage S, Ekelund U, Parker RA, Wareham NJ, Kinmonth AL and team AD-Ps. Multiple behaviour change intervention and outcomes in recently diagnosed type 2 diabetes: the ADDITION-Plus randomised controlled trial. *Diabetologia*. 2014;57:1308-19.
- 64. Groeneveld IF, Proper KI, van der Beek AJ, van Duivenbooden C and van Mechelen W. Design of a RCT evaluating the (cost-) effectiveness of a lifestyle intervention for male construction workers at risk for cardiovascular disease: the health under construction study. *BMC Public Health*. 2008;8:1.
- 65. Hanssen TA, Nordrehaug JE, Eide GE and Hanestad BR. Improving outcomes after myocardial infarction: a randomized controlled trial evaluating effects of a telephone follow-up intervention. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation*. 2007;14:429-37.
- 66. Harrison-Woolrych M. Varenicline for smoking cessation. *BMJ (Online)*. 2012;345.
- 67. Hasan FM, Zagarins SE, Pischke KM, Saiyed S, Bettencourt AM, Beal L, Macys D, Aurora S and McCleary N. Hypnotherapy is more effective than nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*. 2014;22:1-8.
- 68. Hays JT. Varenicline for smoking cessation: Is it a heartbreaker? *Cmaj.* 2011;183:1346-1347.

- 69. Hays JT, Croghan IT, Schroeder DR, Offord KP, Hurt RD, Wolter TD, Nides MA and Davidson M. Over-the-counter nicotine patch therapy for smoking cessation: results from randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, and open label trials. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1999;89:1701-7.
- 70. Heller RF, Knapp JC, Valenti LA and Dobson AJ. Secondary prevention after acute myocardial infarction. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 1993;72:759-62.
- 71. Hilleman DE, Mohiuddin SM and Packard KA. Comparison of Conservative and Aggressive Smoking Cessation Treatment Strategies Following Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery. *Chest.* 2004;125:435-438.
- 72. Hillis WS. Smoking cessation strategies: Nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) and the cardiovascular patient. *British Journal of Cardiology*. 2000;7:792-793+796+798-800.
- 73. Holmes-Rovner M, Stommel M, Corser WD, Olomu A, Holtrop JS, Siddiqi A and Dunn SL. Does outpatient telephone coaching add to hospital quality improvement following hospitalization for acute coronary syndrome? *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2008;23:1464-70.
- 74. Hornnes N, Larsen K, Brink-Kjaer T and Boysen G. Specific antismoking advice after stroke. *Danish Medical Journal*. 2014;61.
- 75. Hurt RD, Dale LC, Offord KP, Bruce BK, McClain FL and Eberman KM. Inpatient treatment of severe nicotine dependence. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 1992;67:823-8.
- 76. Hyman DJ, Pavlik VN, Taylor WC, Goodrick GK and Moye L. Simultaneous vs sequential counseling for multiple behavior change. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2007;167:1152-8.
- 77. Ijzelenberg W, Hellemans IM, van Tulder MW, Heymans MW, Rauwerda JA, van Rossum AC and Seidell JC. The effect of a comprehensive lifestyle intervention on cardiovascular risk factors in pharmacologically treated patients with stable cardiovascular disease compared to usual care: a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Cardiovascular Disorders*. 2012;12:71.
- 78. Issa JS, Abe TO, Moura S, Santos PC and Pereira AC. Effectiveness of coadministration of varenicline, bupropion, and serotonin reuptake inhibitors in a smoking cessation program in the real-life setting. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2013;15:1146-50.
- 79. Jennings C, Kotseva K, De Bacquer D, Hoes A, de Velasco J, Brusaferro S, Mead A, Jones J, Tonstad S, Wood D and Group EPS. Effectiveness of a preventive cardiology programme for high CVD risk persistent smokers: the EUROACTION PLUS varenicline trial. *European Heart Journal*. 2014;35:1411-20.

- 80. Jha AK. In-hospital smoking cessation counseling after myocardial infarction. *Journal of Clinical Outcomes Management*. 2005;12:240-241.
- 81. Jiang X, Sit JW and Wong TK. A nurse-led cardiac rehabilitation programme improves health behaviours and cardiac physiological risk parameters: evidence from Chengdu, China. *J Clin Nurs*. 2007;16:1886-97.
- 82. Johnson HM, Gossett LK, Piper ME, Aeschlimann SE, Korcarz CE, Baker TB, Fiore MC and Stein JH. Effects of smoking and smoking cessation on endothelial function: 1-year outcomes from a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2010;55:1988-95.
- 83. Johnson HM, Piper ME, Baker TB, Fiore MC and Stein JH. Effects of smoking and cessation on subclinical arterial disease: a substudy of a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]*. 2012;7:e35332.
- 84. Jolly K, Taylor R, Lip GY, Greenfield S, Raftery J, Mant J, Lane D, Jones M, Lee KW and Stevens A. The Birmingham Rehabilitation Uptake Maximisation Study (BRUM). Homebased compared with hospital-based cardiac rehabilitation in a multi-ethnic population: costeffectiveness and patient adherence. *Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England)*. 2007;11:1-118.
- 85. Jorenby DE, Hays JT, Rigotti NA, Azoulay S, Watsky EJ, Williams KE, Billing CB, Gong J and Reeves KR. Efficacy of varenicline, an alpha4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor partial agonist, vs placebo or sustained-release bupropion for smoking cessation: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2006;296:56-63.
- 86. Killen JD, Fortmann SP, Murphy Jr GM, Hayward C, Arredondo C, Cromp D, Celio M, Abe L, Wang Y and Schatzberg AF. Extended treatment with bupropion SR for cigarette smoking cessation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2006;74:286-294.
- 87. Killen JD, Fortmann SP, Schatzberg AF, Hayward C, Sussman L, Rothman M, Strausberg L and Varady A. Nicotine patch and paroxetine for smoking cessation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2000;68:883-889.
- 88. Kistler CE and Goldstein AO. The risk of adverse cardiovascular events from varenicline balanced against the benefits in mortality from smoking cessation. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2012;14:1391-1393.
- 89. Kjelsberg MO, Cutler JA and Dolecek TA. Brief description of the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1997;65:191S-195S.
- 90. Lacasse Y, Lamontagne R, Martin S, Simard S and Arsenault M. Randomized trial of a smoking cessation intervention in hospitalized patients. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2008;10:1215-21.

- 91. Lando HA, Hellerstedt WL, Pirie PL and McGovern PG. Brief supportive telephone outreach as a recruitment and intervention strategy for smoking cessation. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1992;82:41-6.
- 92. Lear SA, Ignaszewski A, Linden W, Brozic A, Kiess M, Spinelli JJ, Pritchard PH and Frohlich JJ. A randomized controlled trial of an extensive lifestyle management intervention (ELMI) following cardiac rehabilitation: Study design and baseline data. *Current Controlled Trials in Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2002;3.
- 93. Lebargy F. Bupropion is 2 times more effective than a placebo for smoking cessation. [French]. *Revue du Praticien Medecine Generale*. 2002;16:1479-1482.
- 94. Li WW and Froelicher ES. Predictors of smoking relapse in women with cardiovascular disease in a 30-month study: extended analysis. *Heart & Lung*. 2008;37:455-65.
- 95. Maddison R, Roberts V, Bullen C, McRobbie H, Jiang Y, Prapavessis H, Glover M, Taylor S and Brown P. Design and conduct of a pragmatic randomized controlled trial to enhance smoking-cessation outcomes with exercise: The Fit2Quit study. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*. 2010;3:92-101.
- 96. Marotta F, DiPaolo A and Adib R. Chantix (Varenicline). *Journal of Asthma and Allergy Educators*. 2013;4:85-86.
- 97. Marsh HS, Dresler CM, Choi JH, Targett DA, Gamble ML and Strahs KR. Safety profile of a nicotine lozenge compared with that of nicotine gum in adult smokers with underlying medical conditions: a 12-week, randomized, open-label study. *Clinical Therapeutics*. 2005;27:1571-87.
- 98. Martin K, Froelicher ES and Miller NH. Women's initiative for nonsmoking (WINS) II: the intervention. *Heart & Lung*. 2000;29:438-45.
- 99. McPherson CP, Swenson KK, Pine DA and Leimer L. A nurse-based pilot program to reduce cardiovascular risk factors in a primary care setting. *American Journal of Managed Care*. 2002;8:543-55.
- 100. McRobbie H, Brath H, Astbury C, Hider A and Sweet RM. Bupropion hydrochloride sustained release (SR) is an effective and well tolerated aid to smoking cessation in smokers with cardiovascular disease. 12 month follow-up phase data (ZYB40014). *European Respiratory Society meeting, Stockholm, 14-18 September.* 2002.
- 101. Mildestvedt T, Meland E and Eide GE. No difference in lifestyle changes by adding individual counselling to group-based rehabilitation RCT among coronary heart disease patients. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*. 2007;35:591-8.

- 102. Mosca L, Christian AH, Mochari-Greenberger H, Kligfield P and Smith SC, Jr. A randomized clinical trial of secondary prevention among women hospitalized with coronary heart disease. *Journal of Women's Health*. 2010;19:195-202.
- 103. Murchie P, Campbell NC, Ritchie LD, Simpson JA and Thain J. Secondary prevention clinics for coronary heart disease: Four year follow up of a randomised controlled trial in primary care. *British Medical Journal*. 2003;326:84-87.
- 104. Mussulman L, Ellerbeck EF, Cupertino AP, Preacher KJ, Spaulding R, Catley D, Cox LS, Lambart L, Hunt JJ, Nazir N, Shireman T and Richter KP. Design and participant characteristics of a randomized-controlled trial of telemedicine for smoking cessation among rural smokers. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*. 2014;38:173-181.
- 105. Myles PS, Leslie K, Angliss M, Mezzavia P and Lee L. Effectiveness of bupropion as an aid to stopping smoking before elective surgery: a randomised controlled trial. *Anaesthesia*. 2004;59:1053-8.
- 106. Nahvi S, Ning Y, Segal KS, Richter KP and Arnsten JH. Varenicline efficacy and safety among methadone maintained smokers: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Addiction*. 2014.
- 107. Nakamura M, Masui S, Oshima A, Okayama A and Ueshima H. Effects of stage-matched repeated individual counseling on smoking cessation: A randomized controlled trial for the High-risk Strategy by Lifestyle Modification (HISLIM) study. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*. 2004;9:152-160.
- 108. Nakamura M, Oshima A, Fujimoto Y, Maruyama N, Ishibashi T and Reeves KR. Efficacy and tolerability of varenicline, an alpha4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor partial agonist, in a 12-week, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-response study with 40-week follow-up for smoking cessation in Japanese smokers. *Clinical Therapeutics*. 2007;29:1040-1056.
- 109. Naser A, Shahamfar J, Kumar GV, Daga MK, Hadi HS, Saeed D, Mohamadreza S and Ali Hossein M. Cardiac risk factor changes through an intensive multifactorial life style modification program in CHD patients: Results from a two year follow up. *Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2008;8:248-257.
- 110. Nides M, Oncken C, Gonzales D, Rennard S, Watsky EJ, Anziano R and Reeves KR. Smoking cessation with varenicline, a selective alpha4beta2 nicotinic receptor partial agonist: Results from a 7-week, randomized, placebo- and bupropion-controlled trial with 1year follow-up. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2006;166:1561-1568.

- 111. Nisbeth O, Klausen K and Andersen LB. Effectiveness of counselling over 1 year on changes in lifestyle and coronary heart disease risk factors. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2000;40:121-131.
- 112. Ockene I and Salmoirago-Blotcher E. Varenicline for smoking cessation in patients with coronary heart disease. *Circulation*. 2010;121:188-190.
- Oncken C, Gonzales D, Nides M, Rennard S, Watsky E, Billing CB, Anziano R and Reeves K. Efficacy and safety of the novel selective nicotinic acetylcholine receptor partial agonist, varenicline, for smoking cessation. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2006;166:1571-1577.
- 114. Ornish D, Scherwitz LW, Billings JH, Lance Gould K, Merritt TA, Sparler S, Armstrong WT, Ports TA, Kirkeeide RL, Hogeboom C and Brand RJ. Intensive lifestyle changes for reversal of coronary heart disease. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1998;280:2001-2007.
- 115. Otsu H and Moriyama M. Follow-up study for a disease management program for chronic heart failure 24 months after program commencement. *Japan Journal of Nursing Science*. 2012;9:136-148.
- 116. Pack QR, Jorenby DE, Fiore MC, Jackson T, Weston P, Piper ME and Baker TB. A comparison of the nicotine lozenge and nicotine gum: An effectiveness randomized controlled trial. *Wisconsin Medical Journal*. 2008;107:237-243.
- 117. Papadakis S, Aitken D, Gocan S, Riley D, Laplante MA, Bhatnagar-Bost A, Cousineau D, Simpson D, Edjoc R, Pipe AL, Sharma M and Reid RD. A randomised controlled pilot study of standardised counselling and cost-free pharmacotherapy for smoking cessation among stroke and TIA patients. *BMJ Open*. 2011;1:e000366.
- 118. Piper ME, Smith SS, Schlam TR, Fiore MC, Jorenby DE, Fraser D and Baker TB. A randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial of 5 smoking cessation pharmacotherapies. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2009;66:1253-1262.
- 119. Power L, Brown NS and Makin GS. Unsuccessful outpatient counselling to help patients with peripheral vascular disease to stop smoking [see comments]. *Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England*. 1992;74:31-4.
- 120. Prochazka AV, Kick S, Steinbrunn C, Miyoshi T and Fryer GE. A randomized trial of nortriptyline combined with transdermal nicotine for smoking cessation. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2004;164:2229-2233.
- 121. Quist-Paulsen P, Bakke PS and Gallefoss F. Does smoking cessation improve quality of life in patients with coronary heart disease? *Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal*. 2006;40:11-6.

- 122. Racelis MC, Lombardo K and Verdin J. Impact of telephone reinforcement of risk reduction education on patient compliance. *Journal of Vascular Nursing*. 1998;16:16-20.
- 123. Reid R, Pipe A, Higginson L, Johnson K, D'Angelo MS, Cooke D and Dafoe W. Stepped care approach to smoking cessation in patients hospitalized for coronary artery disease. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation*. 2003;23:176-82.
- 124. Reid RD, McDonnell LA, Riley DL, Mark AE, Mosca L, Beaton L, Papadakis S, Blanchard CM, Mochari-Greenberger H, O'Farrell P, Wells GA, Slovinec D'Angelo ME and Pipe AL. Effect of an intervention to improve the cardiovascular health of family members of patients with coronary artery disease: a randomized trial. *CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2014;186:23-30.
- 125. Reid RD, Pipe A and Dafoe WA. Is telephone counselling a useful addition to physician advice and nicotine replacement therapy in helping patients to stop smoking? A randomized controlled trial. *CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 1999;160:1577-81.
- 126. Rennard S, Hughes J, Cinciripini PM, Kralikova E, Raupach T, Arteaga C, St Aubin LB and Russ C. A randomized placebo-controlled trial of varenicline for smoking cessation allowing flexible quit dates. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2012;14:343-350.
- 127. Rennard SI, Glover ED, Leischow S, Daughton DM, Glover PN, Muramoto M, Franzon M, Danielsson T, Landfeldt B and Westin A. Efficacy of the nicotine inhaler in smoking reduction: A double-blind, randomized trial. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2006;8:555-64.
- 128. Richman PB, Dinowitz S, Nashed AH, Eskin B, Sylvan E, Allegra C, Allegra J and Mandell M. The emergency department as a potential site for smoking cessation intervention: a randomized, controlled trial. *Academic Emergency Medicine*. 2000;7:348-53.
- 129. Rigotti NA, Bitton A, Kelley JK, Hoeppner BB, Levy DE and Mort E. Offering populationbased tobacco treatment in a healthcare setting: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2011;41:498-503.
- Rodondi N, Bovet P, Hayoz D and Cornuz J. The Impact of CAROtid plaque Screening on Smoking (CAROSS) cessation and control of other cardiovascular risk factors: Rationale and design of a randomized controlled trial. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*. 2008;29:767-773.
- 131. Rodondi N, Collet TH, Nanchen D, Locatelli I, Depairon M, Aujesky D, Bovet P and Cornuz J. Impact of carotid plaque screening on smoking cessation and other cardiovascular risk factors: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2012;172:344-52.

- 132. Roig L, Perez S, Prieto G, Martin C, Advani M, Armengol A, Roura P, Manresa JM, Briones E and Group IS. Cluster randomized trial in smoking cessation with intensive advice in diabetic patients in primary care. ITADI Study. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:58.
- 133. Rose JE and Behm FM. Adapting smoking cessation treatment according to initial response to precessation nicotine patch. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2013;170:860-867.
- 134. Rose JE, Herskovic JE, Behm FM and Westman EC. Precessation treatment with nicotine patch significantly increases abstinence rates relative to conventional treatment. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2009;11:1067-1075.
- 135. Rosenberg D, Lin E, Peterson D, Ludman E, Von Korff M and Katon W. Integrated medical care management and behavioral risk factor reduction for multicondition patients: behavioral outcomes of the TEAMcare trial. *General Hospital Psychiatry*. 2014;36:129-34.
- 136. Saba M, Diep J, Bittoun R and Saini B. Provision of smoking cessation services in Australian community pharmacies: a simulated patient study. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*. 2014;36:604-14.
- 137. Schmitz JM, Spiga R, Rhoades HM, Fuentes F and Grabowski J. Smoking cessation in women with cardiac risk: A comparative study of two theoretically based therapies. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 1999;1:87-94.
- 138. Schneider NG, Olmstead R, Mody FV, Doan K, Franzon M, Jarvik ME and Steinberg C. Efficacy of a nicotine nasal spray in smoking cessation: A placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Addiction*. 1995;90:1671-1682.
- 139. Schneider NG, Olmstead R, Nilsson F, Mody FV, Franzon M and Doan K. Efficacy of a nicotine inhaler in smoking cessation: A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Addiction*. 1996;91:1293-1306.
- 140. Schnoll RA, Patterson F, Wileyto EP, Heitjan DF, Shields AE, Asch DA and Lerman C. Effectiveness of extended-duration transdermal nicotine therapy: A randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2010;152:144-151.
- 141. Senesael E, Borgermans L, Van De Vijver E and Devroey D. Effectiveness of a quality improvement intervention targeting cardiovascular risk factors: are patients responsive to information and encouragement by mail or post? *Vascular Health & Risk Management*. 2013;9:13-20.
- 142. Sheng LX, Tang YL, Jiang ZN, Yao CH, Gao JY, Xu GZ and Tong XY. Sustained-release bupropion for smoking cessation in a Chinese sample: A double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2013;15:320-325.

- 143. Shlay JC, Barber B, Mickiewicz T, Maravi M, Drisko J, Estacio R, Gutierrez G and Urbina C. Reducing cardiovascular disease risk using patient navigators, Denver, Colorado, 2007-2009. *Preventing chronic disease*. 2011;8:A143.
- 144. Siddiqi K, Khan A, Ahmad M, Dogar O, Kanaan M, Newell JN and Thomson H. Action to stop smoking in suspected tuberculosis (assist) in Pakistan : A cluster randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;158:667-675.
- 145. Simon JA, Carmody TP, Hudes ES, Snyder E and Murray J. Intensive smoking cessation counseling versus minimal counseling among hospitalized smokers treated with transdermal nicotine replacement: a randomized trial. *Am J Med.* 2003;114:555-62.
- 146. Singh S and Loke YK. Flaws in analysis lead to misleading conclusions about varenicline's safety in smoking cessation. *BMJ (Online)*. 2012;344.
- 147. Sivarajan Froelicher ES, Miller NH, Christopherson DJ, Martin K, Parker KM, Amonetti M, Lin Z, Sohn M, Benowitz N, Taylor CB and Bacchetti P. High rates of sustained smoking cessation in women hospitalized with cardiovascular disease: the Women's Initiative for Nonsmoking (WINS). *Circulation*. 2004;109:587-93.
- 148. Smith PM, Reilly KR, Houston Miller N, DeBusk RF and Taylor CB. Application of a nurse-managed inpatient smoking cessation program. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2002;4:211-22.
- 149. Sorensen G, Stoddard A, Hunt MK, Hebert JR, Ockene JK, Avrunin JS, Himmelstein J and Hammond SK. The effects of a health promotion-health protection intervention on behavior change: the WellWorks Study. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1998;88:1685-90.
- 150. Steinberg MB, Randall J, Greenhaus S, Schmelzer AC, Richardson DL and Carson JL. Tobacco dependence treatment for hospitalized smokers: A randomized, controlled, pilot trial using varenicline. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2011;36:1127-1132.
- 151. Steptoe A, Doherty S, Rink E, Kerry S, Kendrick T and Hilton S. Behavioural counselling in general practice for the promotion of healthy behaviour among adults at increased risk of coronary heart disease: randomised trial. *Bmj*. 1999;319:943-7; discussion 947-8.
- 152. Tashkin D, Kanner R, Bailey W, Buist S, Anderson P, Nides M, Gonzales D, Dozier G, M KP and Jamerson B. Smoking cessation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2001;357:1571-1575.
- 153. Thorndike AN, Regan S, McKool K, Pasternak RC, Swartz S, Torres-Finnerty N and Rigotti NA. Depressive symptoms and smoking cessation after hospitalization for cardiovascular disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2008;168:186-91.

- 154. Tonnesen P, Lauri H, Perfekt R, Mann K and Batra A. Efficacy of a nicotine mouth spray in smoking cessation: A randomised, double-blind trial. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2012;40:548-554.
- 155. Tonnesen P, Mikkelsen K and Bremann L. Smoking cessation with smokeless tobacco and group therapy: An open, randomized, controlled trial. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2008;10:1365-1372.
- 156. Tonnesen P, Paoletti P, Gustavsson G, Russell MA, Saracci R, Gulsvik A, Rijcken B and Sawe U. Higher dosage nicotine patches increase one-year smoking cessation rates: Results from the European CEASE trial. *European Respiratory Journal*. 1999;13:238-246.
- 157. Tonstad S and Aubin HJ. Efficacy of a dose range of surinabant, a cannabinoid receptor blocker, for smoking cessation: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*. 2012;26:1003-1009.
- 158. Tonstad S, Holme I and Tonnesen P. Dianicline, a novel alpha4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor partial agonist, for smoking cessation: A randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2011;13:1-6.
- 159. Trockel M, Burg M, Jaffe A, Barbour K and Taylor CB. Smoking behavior postmyocardial infarction among ENRICHD trial participants: cognitive behavior therapy intervention for depression and low perceived social support compared with care as usual. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2008;70:875-82.
- 160. Tsai ST, Cho HJ, Cheng HS, Kim CH, Hsueh KC, Billing Jr CB and Williams KE. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of varenicline, a selective alpha4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor partial agonist, as a new therapy for smoking cessation in Asian smokers. *Clinical Therapeutics*. 2007;29:1027-1039.
- 161. Tsukahara H, Noda K and Saku K. A randomized controlled open comparative trial of varenicline vs nicotine patch in adult smokers: Efficacy, safety and withdrawal symptoms (the VN-SEESAW study). *Circ J*. 2010;74:771-778.
- 162. van Elderen-van Kemenade T, Maes S and van den Broek Y.
- Effects of a health education programme with telephone follow-up during cardiac rehabilitation. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 1994;33:367-78.
- 163. Vestfold Heartcare Study G. Influence on lifestyle measures and five-year coronary risk by a comprehensive lifestyle intervention programme in patients with coronary heart disease. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation*. 2003;10:429-37.

- 164. Vorderstrasse AA, Ginsburg GS, Kraus WE, Maldonado MC and Wolever RQ. Health coaching and genomics-potential avenues to elicit behavior change in those at risk for chronic disease: protocol for personalized medicine effectiveness study in air force primary care. *Global Advances in Health & Medicine*. 2013;2:26-38.
- 165. Wei X, Zou G, Gong W, Yin J, Yu Y, Walley J, Zhang Z, King R, Chen K, Chong MK, Zee BC, Liu S, Tang J, Griffiths S and Yu M. Cardiovascular disease risk reduction in rural China: a clustered randomized controlled trial in Zhejiang. *Trials [Electronic Resource]*. 2013;14:354.
- 166. Weinberger AH, Reutenauer EL, Jatlow PI, O'Malley SS, Potenza MN and George TP. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial of oral selegiline hydrochloride for smoking cessation in nicotine-dependent cigarette smokers. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2010;107:188-195.
- 167. White Jr JR. Treating nicotine addiction with OTC products. US. 2007;Pharmacist. 32:18-21.
- 168. White WD, Crockford D, Patten S and el-Guebaly N. A randomized, open-label pilot comparison of gabapentin and bupropion SR for smoking cessation. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2005;7:809-813.
- 169. Wiggers LC, Oort FJ, Dijkstra A, de Haes JC, Legemate DA and Smets EM. Cognitive changes in cardiovascular patients following a tailored behavioral smoking cessation intervention. *Preventive Medicine*. 2005;40:812-21.
- 170. Williams GC, McGregor H, Sharp D, Kouides RW, Levesque CS, Ryan RM and Deci EL. A self-determination multiple risk intervention trial to improve smokers' health. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2006;21:1288-1294.
- 171. Williams KE, Reeves KR, Billing Jr CB, Pennington AM and Gong J. A double-blind study evaluating the long-term safety of varenicline for smoking cessation. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*. 2007;23:793-801.
- 172. Winhusen TM, Brigham GS, Kropp F, Lindblad R, Gardin IJG, Penn P, Hodgkins C, Kelly TM, Douaihy A, McCann M, Love LD, DeGravelles E, Bachrach K, Sonne SC, Hiott B, Haynes L, Sharma G, Lewis DF, Van Veldhuisen P, Theobald J and Ghitza U. A randomized trial of concurrent smoking-cessation and substance use disorder treatment in stimulant-dependent smokers. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2014;75:336-343.
- 173. Wister A, Loewen N, Kennedy-Symonds H, McGowan B, McCoy B and Singer J. One-year follow-up of a therapeutic lifestyle intervention targeting cardiovascular disease risk. *CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2007;177:859-65.

- 174. Woolf KJ, Zabad MN, Post JM, McNitt S, Williams GC and Bisognano JD. Effect of nicotine replacement therapy on cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndromes. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 2012;110:968-70.
- 175. Wu TP, Chen FP, Liu JY, Lin MH and Hwang SJ. A randomized controlled clinical trial of auricular acupuncture in smoking cessation. *J Chin Med Assoc*. 2007;70:331-8.
- 176. Yoon JH, Newton TF, Haile CN, Bordnick PS, Fintzy RE, Culbertson C, Mahoney JJ, Hawkins RY, LaBounty KR, Ross EL, Aziziyeh AI and La Garza RD. Effects of D-cycloserine on cue-induced craving and cigarette smoking among concurrent cocaine- and nicotine-dependent volunteers. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2012;38:1518-1526.